LPA RE 46 Rev. Oct. 2007

TITLE REPORT



C/R/S PARCEL PID

SJN

Туре

POR-43-10.26 19-T 93422 441022

INSTRUCTION: R.C. 163.01 (C) defines "owner" as "any individual, partnership, association, or corporation having any estate, title, or (1) interest in any real property sought to be appropriated." The City of Kent expands this definition to include, but is not limited to, all fee owners, life tenants, remaindermen, mortgagees, tenants and subtenants (whether or not a lease is recorded), occupants, possessors, lienholders, easement owners, judgement creditors, etc.

(2) The City of Kent procedures require that pertinent attachments be part of the Title Report/Title Chain in compliance with Section 5102.04 (II)(J) of the Ohio Department of Transportation's Real Estate Procedures Manual.

(1)	FEE OR	OTHER	PRIMARY	OWNERS

Name & Address	Marital Status (Spouse's Name)	Interest
Diane L. Roberts, Trustee of the Diane L. Roberts Trust u/a/d 3/21/	94 N/A	Fee Simple,
Parcel Address:		Full Interest
1317 Water Street		
Kent, OH 44240		
Mailing Address:		
1901 Deer Path		
Kent, OH 44240		
(2) <u>BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PREMISES</u>	(From deed to present owner or other instrudescription. Give deeds of record, include to	ments containing a valid
Current Deed: #200120136 (Attached)		o.zo o. ozor. paracij
Situated in the City of Kent, County of Portage, State of Ohio and k and bounds and containing 0.567 acres PPN: 17-006-11-00-009-000 (0.567 acres)	nown as being part of Original Franklin T	ownship Lot 6, metes
(3-A) MORTGAGES, LIENS AND ENCUMBRANCES		
Name & Address	Date Filed Am	ount & Type of Lien
None Found		
(3-B) <u>LEASES</u>		
Name & Address	Commercial/Residential	Term
None Found		

DEFECTS IN TITLE-IRREGULARITIES-COMMENTS (Record or Off Record) None Found

(4)

(3-C)

Name & Address

None Found

EASEMENTS

(5)	TAXES A	ND SPECIAL ASSE	SSMENIS (List by audito	r's tax parcel number,	description, amo	ount, etc.)
County:	Portage	***************************************	City:	Kent	School District:	Kent CSD
AUD. PAR	R. NO(S)	Land – 100%	Building – 100%	Total – 100°	% Ta	axes per ½ year
17-006-11	-00-009	\$73,800	\$104,100	\$177,900	\$2	2,329.03 1 st ½ 16 Paid
(6)	CAUV (Cu	rrent Agricultural	Use Value)	****		
	is the prop Comment		IV Program: Yes: ☐ I	No: ⊠		
abstract of the	e real estaters progress of the state of the	e records for that pe personally known by	the undersigned pertainin d upon the several public r 7:59 AM (am/p	all currently relevant in all currently relevant in graph to Parcel(s) 19-T are ecords of Portage Comm) Signed Print Name	instruments and and presently stan	proceedings of record and ading in the name of Diane
			UPDATE TITL	E BLOCK		
abstract of th	e real estate rd matters p as the s	ersonally know by t	totototo define the control of time, which reflects the undersigned pertaining ton the several public records	all currently relevant i to Parcel(s)	nstruments and	· -
Date & Time			(am/pm)			
			Signed Print Nam	ne		
Comments f	rom the ag	ent who prepared	I the Title Update			

EXHIBIT A

LPA RX 887 T

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 07/09

Ver. Date 10/13/16

PID 93442

PARCEL 19-T POR-43-10.26 TEMPORARY EASEMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PERFORMING THE WORK NECESSARY TO RECONSTRUCT DRIVES, REGRADE FOR 12 MONTHS FROM DATE OF ENTRY BY THE CITY OF KENT, PORTAGE COUNTY, OHIO

[Surveyor's description of the premises follows]

Situated in the City of Kent, County of Portage, State of Ohio, being part of Lot 6 in Franklin Township, and being part of lands described in the deed to DIANE L. ROBERTS (TRUSTEE) (Grantor) as recorded in Instrument 200120136 on file in the Portage County Recorder's office and laying on the right side of the existing centerline of right-of-way of State Route 43 as recorded as Plat _____ and as shown on the POR-43-10.26 right-of-way plans prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. for the City of Kent, Ohio, and more fully described as follows:

Beginning on the existing easterly right of way line of said State Route 43 at 30.00 feet right of Station 569+52.22;

- 1. Thence North 69° 14′ 11" East, along the Grantor's northerly line, a distance of 8.00 feet being 38.00 feet right of Station 569+52.21;
- 2. Thence South 20° 49' 49" East, through the Grantor, a distance of 99.99 feet to the Grantor's southerly line being 38.00 feet right of Station 568+52.22;
- 3. Thence South 69° 10' 55" West, along said southerly line, a distance of 8.00 feet to said existing easterly right of way line of said State Route 43 being 30.00 feet right of Station 568+52.22;
- 4. Thence North 20° 49' 49" West, along said existing easterly right of way line, a distance of 100.00 feet to the **Point of Beginning.**

The above described easement contains 0.018 acres (800 square feet, including 0.000 acres within the present road occupied), within Parcel Number 17-006-11-00-009-000 and subject to all legal highways and easements of record.

This description was prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. under the supervision of Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142, and is based on a field survey performed by Arcadis U.S.,

EXHIBIT A

Page 2 of 2

LPA RX 887 T

Rev. 07/09

Inc. in September 2014 under the direction of Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142;

Bearings in this description are based on the Ohio State Plane Coordinate System, NAD83(2011), North Zone, Grid North;

Grantor claims title as recorded in Instrument 200120136 of Portage County Deed Records.

The stations referred are from the existing centerline of right-of-way of State Route 43 as shown on the POR-43-10.26 right-of-way plans unless noted otherwise.

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

Date
Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142

VALUE ANALYSIS (\$10,000 OR LESS)

OWNER'S NAME	COUNTY	POR
	ROUTE	43
Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)	SECTION	10.26
Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)	PID#	93442
	STATE JOB #	441022

Based on comparable sales, which are attached, the following values have been established. All temporary parcels are to be of 12 months in duration.

Parcel #	Net Take Area	Land	Improvement(s)	Remarks	Total
19-T	0.018 acres	0.018 acres commercial land TCE @ \$275,000/ac X 10% R _L for 12 months = \$500, rounded	None	Temporary easement for the purpose of performing the work necessary to reconstruct drives and regrade for 12 months from date of entry by the City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio	\$500
				Total	\$500

Conflict of Interest Certification [49CFR 24.102(n) and OAC 5501: 2-5-06(B)(3)(a)]

- 1. My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- 2. My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this compensation recommendation.
- 3. I have no direct or indirect present or contemplated future personal interest in such property or in any benefit from the acquisition of such property valued, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- 4. In recommending the compensation for the property, I have disregarded any decrease or increase in the fair market value of the real property that occurred prior to the date of valuation caused by the public improvement for which such property is acquired, or by the likelihood that the property would be acquired for such improvement, other than that due to physical deterioration within the reasonable control of the owner.

5. I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.

Le 5am	April 24, 2017	Tan.	5/4/2017
SIGNATURE OF PERSON PREPARING ANAL TYPED NAME: John D. D'Angelo, MAI	YSIS DATE	REVIEWER'S CONCURRENCE TYPED NAME: Bruce Bowman	DATE
NAME OF AGENCY (IF DIFFERENT FROM C City of Kent	DOT)	Ponten	5/9/17
TITLE: Superintendent of Engineering / Deputy Se	ervice Director	AGENCY SIGNATURE ESTABLISHING FMVI TYPED NAME: James Bowling, P.E.	DATE
ADMINISTRATIVE SETTLEMENT:		F.M.V.E. AMOUNTADDITIONAL AMOUNT	
SIGNATURE TYPED NAME:	DATE	TOTAL SETTLEMENT	

(SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION)

Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Describe the 5 year sales history of the subject property: There have been no market-oriented sales of the subject property within the past five year period.

Grantor	Grantee	Date	Price	Comments
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Describe the influence on va of the subject property.	alue, if any, of prior sales	N/A		
State any information available from the title report that may affect the valuation of the subject property.		N/A	700 N	

Identify the Larger Parcel:

The larger parcel is located along the easterly side of S. Water Street (State Route 43) approximately 300' north of the S. Water Street and Bowman Drive intersection, City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio (GPS coordinates: 41.142029, -81.357451). The subject larger parcel is improved commercially (Evelyn Dickerson Hair Design). The commercial building and unaffected site improvements situated on the subject property have been excluded from this analysis. The parcel address is 1317 S. Water Street, Kent, Ohio 44240.

The larger parcel is rectangular in shape and, per the right of way plans, contains 0.567 acres gross and net. It is referenced as Auditor's Parcel Number 17-006-11-00-009-000. The land has unity of ownership currently in the name of Diane L. Roberts (Trustee). There are 100'± fronting the easterly side of State Route 43 (S. Water Street).

Zoning Code:

R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District

Code Definition:

The subject property sets within an R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District, the purpose of which is to encourage residential development at densities up to approximately thirty-six (36) units per acre and allowing compatible commercial and office activities integrally designed into the neighborhood to provide optimum use of land.

The permitted uses include: single family dwellings, two family dwellings, accessory buildings incidental to the principal use (which do not include any activity conducted as a business), and signs.

Conditionally permitted uses within the R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District include: all permitted uses specified in the C: Commercial District, multifamily dwellings and apartments, home occupations, churches and other buildings for the purpose of religious worship, public and parochial schools, institutions for human care (hospitals, clinics, sanitariums, convalescent homes, nursing homes, homes for the aged, and philanthropic institutions), governmentally owned and/or operated buildings or facilities, quasi-public, institutionally or organizationally owned and/or operated recreational, instructional and meeting facilities such as those developed and used by the YMCA, YWCA, Boy Scouts, or various fraternal or community service groups (but expressly excluding fraternity and sorority houses), lodging house and boarding house accommodations (but expressly excluding fraternity and sorority houses), public utility right of ways and pertinent structures, temporary buildings and uses incidental to construction work, family care home, group home, temporary shelter, open air markets, bed and breakfast facilities, and child day care facilities.

Parcel: 19 – Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Code Definition (Continued)

Per a discussion with personnel of the City of Kent Community Development Department, a commercial use as specified in the C: Commercial District (as conditionally permitted by the zoning ordinance) would likely be permitted based on the subject property's location along State Route 43.

Permitted uses in the C: Commercial District include: 1). Establishments engaged primarily in the fields of finance, insurance and real estate, such as: bank (not including drive-in facilities), credit agency other than a bank, investment company, real estate company, and insurance company. 2). Establishments engaged in providing a variety of services to individuals and business establishments, such as: personal services (such as barber and beauty shops, tailor, dressmaker, shoe repair, laundries and dry cleaning), miscellaneous business services (such as advertising news syndicates and employment agencies), medical and other health services or clinic, engineering and architectural services, legal services, accounting, auditing and bookkeeping services, non-profit organizations, professional organizations, charitable organizations, labor organizations, general offices, professional offices, administrative offices, business offices, and printing services. 3). Establishments engaged in retail trade, such as: drug store, book and stationery store, apparel store, florist, antique store, sporting goods store, jewelry store, optical goods store, home furnishings, office equipment and office supplies store, beverages (including liquor), restaurant, gift shop, food sales (including supermarket), preparation and processing of food and drink to be retailed on the premises (such as bakery, meat market, and delicatessen), sale of clothing and apparel, shoe and variety store, art store, photo store, stationery store, hobby store, toy store, book store, music store, jewelry store, gift sales, interior decorating offices, retail sale of hardware, paint store, interior decorating store, auto accessories, repair of household appliances and bicycles, carpeting and other major household articles, and cultural, educational or religious facilities.

Minimum Site Size: The following lot and building standards apply in the R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District:

Minimum Lot Area (SF)	Minimum Frontage (Ft)	Minimum Lot Width at Bldg Line (Ft)	Minimum Front Yard (Ft)	Minimum Side Yard (Ft)	Minimum Rear Yard (Ft)	Maximum Building Height (Ft)
Single Family: 6,000	Single Family: 50	·				
Two Family: 10,000	Two Family: 75	4.0				
Multifamily: 10,000	Multifamily: 100	40	30	10	30	60
Commercial: None	Commercial: None					

This analysis considers the subject property in accordance with its highest and best use. The property, considered as vacant, is of adequate size and dimensions for legal development.

Utilities:

All public utilities are available.

Highest and Best Use:

Based on the physical characteristics of the site and neighborhood composition, the highest and best use of the land as vacant is for commercial development.

Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Valuation/Analysis of Sales:

Sale Number:	1	2	3	4
Location:	1410 S. Water Street, City of Kent, Portage County	Norton Road, City of Hudson, Summit County	4397 Kent Road, City of Stow, Summit County	E. Waterloo Road, Springfield Township Summit County
Sale Date:	2/4/2014	7/15/2014	7/31/2013	9/25/2015
Sale Price (effective):	\$320,000	\$350,000	\$185,000	\$176,500
Area:	1.237 acres net	1.0242 acres net	0.733 acres net	0.600 acres net
Unit Value:	\$258,690/acre	\$341,730/acre	\$252,387/acre	\$294,167/acre

Discussion:

Regarding land and assuming all other factors equal, larger parcels typically sell for a lower price per unit than smaller parcels, and parcels with favorable frontage-to-depth ratios will typically sell for a higher per unit price than parcels with ratios less favorable. A property's zoning determines the legal uses of the site. Topography is another important element of comparison because it impacts the degree of necessary site work, as well as the usability of the finished product. The presence or lack of public utilities makes a property more or less desirable, and accordingly, valuable.

Reconciliation:

The sales' value indications range from \$252,387 to \$341,730 per acre. Each sale is relevant to the analysis due to its generally similar highest and best use as vacant. The subject and Land Sale 1 are located in Portage County, and Land Sales 2, 3, and 4 are located in neighboring Summit County. Land Sales 1 and 3 are the closest in proximity, Land Sale 4 is the most recent sale, Land Sales 3 and 4 are the most similar to the subject with regard to size, and Land Sales 2 and 3 are most similar with regard to interior lot orientation. Considering all indicators and the differences that exist between the sales and the subject, a value estimate of \$275,000 per acre is concluded for the subject site. This value conclusion is within the range of the four sales.

Analysis of Site Improvements (support for contributory value):

Within the Parcel 19-T Area

There are no site improvements situated within the Parcel 19-T area that are to be taken and not replaced/restored.

Summarize the effect of the take on the residue property:

Required is a temporary construction easement, denoted as Parcel 19-T, which contains 0.018 acres net. This parcel has no effect on the residue. The temporary easement area is located along the subject property's entire existing State Route 43 frontage at a constant depth of 8'.

Are there Severance Damages?	YES:	NO:	\boxtimes
------------------------------	------	-----	-------------

Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Other Comments:

All site improvements (if any) situated within existing right of way are non-compensable per Ohio Department of Transportation policies and procedures.

Site improvements within the temporary easement area, such as lawn, curbing, and paved/graveled surfaces (driveways, etc.), if any, will be replaced/restored before project completion and are not included in the compensation estimate.

The property, considered as vacant, continues to be of adequate size and dimensions for legal development after the taking.

Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

PHOTOGRAPHS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY



Photograph 1 – Property view from near State Route 43 (S. Water Street) station 569+60 facing in an easterly direction; the commercial building and unaffected site improvements are excluded from this analysis



Photograph 2 – View along the subject's State Route 43 (S. Water Street) frontage from near station 569+55 facing in a southerly direction showing the Parcel 19-T area

Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

LARGER PARCEL VIEW - PLAT MAP

Larger Parcel: 0.567 acres net Auditor's Parcel No. 17-006-11-00-009-000




Source: Portage County Auditor (2016 aerial)

Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)


SITE SKETCH FROM RIGHT OF WAY PLANS

(Showing Parcel 19-T area in green)



Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 19 – Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)


Site Sketch from Right of Way Plans (Continued)



Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)


Site Sketch from Right of Way Plans (Continued)

Closer View; Right of Way Pages Merged



Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

COMPARABLE LAND SALES LOCATION MAP



Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Land Sale

Sale Number:

One

Address/Location:

Southwest corner of S. Water Street and Cherry Street; 1410 S. Water Street, City of Kent, Portage

County, Ohio

Parcel Number:

170060000020001

GPS Coordinates: 41.140892, -81.357241

Instrument Number:

201401652 - Limited Warranty Deed

Grantor: Grantee:

Jensen Center, Ltd., a Texas limited liability partnership O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC a Delaware limited liability company

Sale Price:

\$320,000 Sale Date:

2/4/2014 (recording date)

Effective Unit Price:

\$258,690 per acre

Total Area: 1.237 acres net (deed)

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District: Kent CSD

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Financing:

Cash to seller

Legal Description:

Lot 6

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; map

Utilities: Zoning:

C Commercial

State Route 43 (S. Water Street) and Cherry Street

39133C0138D, dated August 18, 2009

Streets/Access: Topography:

Level with the road grade

Dimensions/Shape: Slightly irregular (see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Commercial (O'Reilly Auto Parts)

Improvements:

The property was vacant at the time of transfer.

Date of Inspection:

4/13/2017

Verification:

Rachel Hurst, Representative of Grantor (210)349-3667, by Andy Moore on 3/16/2017

Motivation of Parties:

The property was purchased for commercial development of an O'Reilly Auto Parts store.

Comments:

No encumbrances are known to either exist or to have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate


Sketch:

size and dimensions for development.

Photo:







Parcel: 19 – Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Land Sale

Sale Number:

Two

Address/Location:

Vacant land on north side of Norton Road (365'± E. of Darrow Road), City of Hudson, Summit

County, Ohio

Parcel Number:

3009438

GPS Coordinates: 41.203069, -81.438991

Instrument Number:

56063371 - General Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Timothy E. Crock

Grantee:

Utilities:

Conrad's Tire Realty Company, Inc.

Sale Price:

\$350,000

Sale Date: 7/29/2014 (recording date)

Effective Unit Price: Conditions of Sale:

\$341,730 per acre

Total Area: 1.0242 acres net

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Arm's length

School District: Financing:

Hudson CSD Cash to seller

Legal Description:

Lot 6 N of Norton Road

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; map 39153C0129E, dated July 20, 2009

Zoning: District 9: Darrowville Commercial Center

Streets/Access: Topography:

Norton Road

Level with the road grade

Dimensions/Shape: Rectangular (148' X 300'; see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Commercial (Conrad's Tire Express)

Improvements:

The property was vacant at the time of transfer.

Date of Inspection:

5/5/2015

Verification:

Steve Perney, CFO of Conrad's Tire Service,

Inc. (216)941-3333, by Andy Moore on

5/11/2015

Motivation of Parties:

The property was purchased for commercial development of a Conrad's Tire Express and Total Car Care store

Comments:


store.


No encumbrances are known to either exist or to have impacted the sale price. The lot does not meet the

200' minimum lot width requirement of the zoning district. A variance for development of the site was granted in October 2012.

Photo:

Sketch:





Parcel: 19 – Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Land Sale

Sale Number: Three

Address/Location:

4397 Kent Road (SR 5), City of Stow, Summit County, Ohio

Parcel Number:

5613057

GPS Coordinates: 41.156171, -81.403314

Instrument Number:

55979734 - Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Shady-Stow, Ltd. An Ohio LLC

Grantee:

Unit Price:

4397 Kent Road, LLC

Effective Sale Price:

\$185,000

Sale Date:

8/2/2013 (recording date)

\$252,387 per acre

Total Area:

0.733 acres net (0.824 acres [per deed] minus 3,984 right of way or 0.091 acres

[per deed] = 0.733 acres)

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District:

Stow Munroe Falls CSD

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Financing:

Cash

Legal Description:

Being part of Lot No 20, Town 3-N, Range 10-W

Utilities: All public available Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; Map No. 39153C0145E - dated July 20, 2009

Zoning:

C-4 General Business District and SKO Stow-Kent Overlay

Streets/Access: Topography:

Kent Road (SR 5)

Dimensions/Shape: Rectangular (100'± X 320'±; see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Generally level Commercial

Present Use:

Rent-A-Center

Improvements:

The property was vacant at the time of sale.

Date of Inspection:

2/28/2014

Verification:

Sean Sanford, Selling Agent (330)284-0331, by Mark Pascek on 2/24/2014

Motivation of Parties:

No atypical motivations known. The Grantee purchased the land to develop a single tenant retail building and related site improvements; Rent-A-Center now occupies the space.

Other than typical utility easements, no encumbrances are known to exist. The property does not meet the 120' minimum lot requirement according to the City of Stow zoning ordinance. A variance for

development of the site was granted in June 2013.

Photo:

Comments:



Sketch:



Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Land Sale

Sale Number:

Four

Address/Location:

Parcel Numbers:

NW corner of East Waterloo Road (US 224) and Shanafelt Avenue, Springfield Township, Summit

County, Ohio

5102381, 5102383, and

5109105

GPS Coordinates: 41.032491, -81.432145

Instrument Number:

56159154 - Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Earl E. Kittinger

Grantee:

Vila Franca Realty, LLC

Sale Price:

Unit Price:

\$176,500 (\$170,000 transfer price

+ \$6,500 estimated demolition)

\$294,167 per acre

Arm's length

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Total Area:

Sale Date:

School District:

Financing:

Cash to seller

0.600 acres net

Springfield Township

Legal Description:

Conditions of Sale:

Lots 6, 7, 8, and 9 in the Shanafelt's Springfield Lake Allotment

Utilities:

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; Map No. 3907810212E, dated July

20, 2009

Zoning:

C-2, Community Commercial Shanafelt Avenue

Streets/Access: Topography:

Generally level

Dimensions/Shape:

Mostly regular (see below)

9/25/2015 (recording date)

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Commercial (Dunkin Donuts)

Improvements:

The site was improved with a single family dwelling and detached garage at the time of transfer. An estimated \$6,500 has been added to the transfer price for demolition costs.

Date of Inspection:

4/13/2017

Verification:

James Zaffiro, Attorney for Grantor (216)520-1464, by Andy Moore on

4/18/2017

Motivation of Parties:

The Grantee purchased the land to develop with a Dunkin Donuts.

Comments:

No encumbrances are known to either exist or have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate size

and dimensions for commercial development.

Photo:

Sketch:





Parcel: 19 - Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

JURISDICTIONAL EXCEPTION DISCLOSURE VALUE ANALYSIS REPORT

This Value Analysis was developed and reported under the Jurisdictional Exception provision of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP). This report is in compliance with Section 4200.02 of the Real Estate Manual (8-10-2015) of the Ohio Department of Transportation's (ODOT) Office of Real Estate. This report is also in compliance with Federal Public Law 91-646 as amended by Public Law 100-17 49 known as the Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Title III, Section 301, (2), United States Code 42 USC Ch. 61 Sec. 4651 (2) and the Code of Federal Regulations 49CFR 24.102 (c)(2)(A) as well as the Ohio Revised Code 163.59 (C) and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5501:2-5-06 (B)(3)(b)(ii)(a) for the provisions for waiver of appraisals. The part or parts of USPAP which have been disregarded are Standards 1-3, since this assignment is not considered to be an appraisal or appraisal review. Refer to Advisory Opinion 21 of USPAP for illustration of the relationship between "valuation services" and "appraisal practice". The legal authority which justifies this action was cited above under Federal and State law for the waiver of appraisal provision. The Value Analysis report format was developed by ODOT in accordance with the waiver of the appraisal provision in both the Federal and State laws cited above. By definition, the Value Analysis Report format is not an appraisal when it is used in accordance with the Policies and Procedures of ODOT.

The appraisal waiver rule adopted by the FHWA allows agencies to determine when an appraisal is not needed if they first determine that the valuation problem was uncomplicated and has an estimated value less than the low value defined in the rule. As such, the information provided in the development of the approved report format is not considered an appraisal. This specified service was prepared by a disinterested and unbiased third party within the scope of the certificate holder's certification in compliance with Ohio Revised code 4763.12.

Jue. 5am

John D'Angelo, MAI

Ohio State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser #2001011052

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 19 – Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

Rev. 01/2016

Parcel Impact Notes

This document is meant to be an aid for scoping and is absolutely not to be interpreted at steering or directing an appraiser to an opinion that is not the appraiser's. However, appraisers must comply with applicable appraiser standards, including USFAP as appropriate, and ODOT's policies and procedures regarding appraisals.

Project C/R/S	POR-43-10.26							
PID	93442		Construction Plans	N/A				
Parcel	19	F	VW Plans	3-20-17 Arcadis US				
Owner/Tenants:	Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)							
Take:	Parcel 19- T is a temporary constru- grading and drive reconstruction.	ction casement (12 m	onths duration) contain	ning 0.018 acres for				
What is in Take?	N/A							
Appraisal Issues! Significant Issues: There is no permanent taking. Parcel 19-T is a temporary construction easement (12 containing 0.018 acres for grading and drive reconstruction, being a strip of land along of the site. The taking is simplistic and has no adverse effect on the property, and there the residue property. There are no complex appraisal problems or issues. Research and analysis of comparable land sales and comparable improved sales will be a determination of the market value for the property rights taken. USPAP: Extraordinary Assumptions and Hypothetical Conditions: ODOT's Real Estate the appraiser to notify the client prior to utilization of extraordinary assumptions or hypoin an assignment.								
The va	aluation (appraisal) problem is:	Simplistic						
Recommended Appraisal Format:	Value Analysis							
Review Appraiser Signature / Date Typed Name	Fruce B. Bowman 4/18/2017							
Approved by Signature / Date Typed Name		Jon & Giaquinto, P.E. Scaler Engineer, City of Kent						
Appraiser Acknowledgement	I have reviewed the right of way pla subject, have reviewed these Parcel problem analysis. I am in agreemen complexity of this problem, and I ag acquisition phase of this project.	impact Notes and I h tregarding the valua	ave independently perfe tion (appraisal) problem	ormed my own appraisal n, the determination of the				
Signature / Date Typed Name	Ann D. D'Angelo, MAI, Approise	4/21/17						

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 19 – Diane L. Roberts (Trustee)

APPRAISER DISCLOSURE STATEMENT							
In compliance with Ohio Revised Code Section 4763.12							
1. Name of Appraiser John D. D'Angelo							
2. Class of Certification/Licensure: X Certified General							
Certification/License Number: 2001011052							
在一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的一个人的							
3. Scope: This report is within the scope of my Certification or License is not within the scope of my Certification or License.							
4. Service Provided by: X Disinterested & Unbiased Third Party Interested & Biased Third Party Interested Third Party on Contingent Fee Basis							
5. Signature of person preparing and reporting this Value Analysis							
Jue. 5am							
This form must be included in conjunction with all appraisal assignments or specialized services performed by a state- certified or state-licensed real estate appraiser							

State of Ohio Department of Transportation Division of Real Estate Appraiser Section Cleveland (216) 787-3100

RE 25-13 Rev. 01/2016

Review Checklist for the Value Analysis

Rev.	. 01/2016		Value Analysis			County	PORTAGE		
						Route	43		
						Section Parael(a)	10.26		
						Parcel(s) PID#	93442		
App	raiser: JOHN	D. D'AN	GELO, MAI	Yes	No	Owner		OBERTS (TRU	ISTEE)
			DOT to perform V.A.'s?	_ 🛛					
•		E B. BO	•	Yes	No				
Is th	e Reviewer pre-ap	proved b	y ODOT to review V.A.'s?						
			shall identify each valuation repor accepted (meets all requirements, b						
\boxtimes	Recommended	=	This means that the report n the acquiring agency's offer		nany Fed	deral and S	tate requireme	ents and is the	basis for
	Accepted	=	This means that the report n used as the basis for the acq				tate requireme	ents but is not	being
	Not Accepted	=	This means that the report d not be used as the basis for the				al and State re	equirements a	nd will
								Yes	No
1.	Is the valuat		em uncomplicated and is it obv	ious that t	there are	no damage	es to the	\boxtimes	
2.	Is the estimated compensation \$10,000 or less?							\boxtimes	
3.	Has the appr	raiser use	d the correct forms? (Value An	alysis froi	nt and ba	nck)		\boxtimes	
4.	Have all par Sales)	ts of the f	form filled out and are mandato	ory attachn	nents inc	cluded? (Co	omparable		
5.	Do the take	areas on t	the V.A. correspond with the ta	ke areas o	n the R/	W Plan?		\boxtimes	
6.	Is the V.A. r	nathemat	ically accurate?					\boxtimes	
7.	Has the appr	raiser acc	urately identified improvement	s within th	ne take a	rea		\boxtimes	
8.	Has the large	er parcel	been identified?					\boxtimes	
9.	How large is	s the large	er parcel?					0.567 Acr	es
10.	Has the appr	aiser con	sidered unity of title, unity of u	ise and co	ntiguity?	•		\boxtimes	
11.	Has zoning l	been iden	tified?					\boxtimes	
12.	Has the appraiser indicated what uses are permitted by zoning?							Yes- MFR	/Comm
13.	. Has the appraiser indicated if the existing use conforms to zoning?							\boxtimes	
14.	Has the appr	aiser indi	cated what the minimum site si	ize per zoi	ning is a	llowed?		Yes- no m	in.
15.	Has the appr	aiser indi	cated if the property before the	take conf	orms to	site size?		\boxtimes	
16.	Has the appr	aiser indi	cated if the residue conforms to	o site size'	?			\boxtimes	
17.	Has the high	est & bes	t use been identified?					\boxtimes	
18.	Has the appr	aiser indi	cated whether the highest & be	st use is p	ermitted	under zon	ing?	\boxtimes	
19.	Has the appr	aiser disc	sussed the effect of the take?					\boxtimes	

20.	There can be no adverse effect to the residue other than a nominal cost to cure. Is there a cost to cure and if so is it nominal?		\boxtimes
21.	Do the comparable sales comply with ODOT standards?	\boxtimes	
22.	Are comparable sales analyzed and compared to the subject?	\boxtimes	
23.	Is a range of value described?	\boxtimes	
24.	Has the appraiser concluded which sales received the greatest weight and explained why?	\boxtimes	
25.	Do the sales share the same or nearly the same highest & best use?	\boxtimes	
26.	Do the sales have the same or nearly the same zoning as the subject?	\boxtimes	
27.	Has the appraiser concluded a unit value for the subject property?	\boxtimes	
28.	Is it within the value range indicated by the sales?	\boxtimes	
29.	If not, has adequate information been given?	\boxtimes	
30.	Has the allocated value of the site improvements taken been adequately supported?		
31.	Have cost to cures or temporary takes been adequately discussed and supported, if any?	\boxtimes	
32.	Do you recommend the report as the basis for the agency to establish FMVE.	\boxtimes	
33.	If yes, what is that estimated compensation amount?	\$500	
34.	If no, then Why Not?		
35.	If rejected, has a review letter been sent to the agency and the appraiser?		
	Reviewer's Certification		
I here	eby certify that:		
\boxtimes	I have reviewed the R/W Plans		
\boxtimes	I have physically inspected the take area of the subject property.		
\boxtimes	I have field reviewed the comparable sales used in the valuation.		
	I concur that the Value Analysis format is the proper valuation format in that it is obvious that the taimpact the residue property, that the valuation problem is uncomplicated and that the FMVE is \$10,	aking does n ,000 or less.	ot adversely
\boxtimes	I concur that the Value Analysis complies with ODOT's Policies and Procedures Manual.		
\boxtimes	I have no present or prospective interest in the subject property.		
	My employment and compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from this analyconclusions or reporting pre-determined results.	sis, opinions	s or
	DATE: 5/4/2017		
	Signature The The J	Y	

Typed Name: BRUCE B. BOWMAN

LPA RE 46 Rev. Oct. 2007

INSTRUCTION:

(4) D None Found

TITLE REPORT



C/R/S PARCEL PID SJN

POR-43-10.26 28-T

93422 441022

(1)	R.C. 163.01 (C) defines "owner" as "any individual, par interest in any real property sought to be appropriated. limited to, all fee owners, life tenants, remaindermen, recorded), occupants, possessors, lienholders, easem	." The City of Kent expands this def nortgagees, tenants and subtenants	finition to include, but is not
(2)	The City of Kent procedures require that pertinent attac Section 5102.04 (II)(J) of the Ohio Department of Tran	chments be part of the Title Report/ sportation's Real Estate Procedures	Title Chain in compliance with s Manual.
(1)	FEE OR OTHER PRIMARY OWNERS		
	Address	Marital Status (Spouse's N	lame) Interest
Richard	S. Marks	Married	Fee Simple,
Parcel /	Mailing Address:		Full Interest
1001 S V	Vater Street		
Kent, Oh	1 44240		
(2)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PREMISES	(From deed to present owner or oth description. Give deeds of record, i	er instruments containing a valid
Current I	Deed: #201517405 (Attached) and #200220109 (Attache	d)	noises the size of datif parecy
in the co	in the City of Kent, County of Portage, State of Ohio, and mmon elements of Dental Arts Building Condominium -013-20-00-121-010	d known as being Unit No. 1, togeth	er with an undivided interest
(3-A)	MORTGAGES, LIENS AND ENCUMBRANCES		
Name &	Address	Date Filed	Amount & Type of Lien
None Fo	und		· mount of Type of Eleft
(3-B)	LEASES		
Name &	Address	Commercial/Residential	Term
None Fo	und	The state of the s	TOTAL
(3-C)	EASEMENTS		
Name &	Address	т	уре
None For	und		IF.

DEFECTS IN TITLE-IRREGULARITIES-COMMENTS (Record or Off Record)

	Portage		City:	Kent School I	District: Kent CSD
AUD. PAR.	. NO(S)	Land - 100%	Building – 100%	Total 100%	Taxes per ½ year
170132000	121010	\$16,500	\$120,400	\$136,900	\$1,792.31 1 st ½ 16 paid
(6)	CAUV (C	urrent Agricultural	Uşe Value)		
	Is the pro		IV Program: Yes: 🗌 No	o: 🖂	
	10.100.00			**	
			7:59 AM (am/pm	Signed Print Name Abby	my Vilyus
			UPDATE TITLE	BLOCK	
stract of the	real esta d matters	te records for that pe personally know by t	riod of time, which reflects a	Il currently relevant instrume Parcel(s)and pre	erifies that this Title Report is an nts and proceedings of record an sently standing in the name of
ate & Time			(am/pm)		
			Signed	max.	
			Signed Print Name		

EXHIBIT A

LPA RX 887 T

Page 1 of 2

Rev. 07/09

Ver. Date 10/13/16

PID 93442

PARCEL 28-T POR-43-10.26 TEMPORARY EASEMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF PERFORMING THE WORK NECESSARY TO REGRADE FOR 12 MONTHS FROM DATE OF ENTRY BY THE CITY OF KENT, PORTAGE COUNTY, OHIO

[Surveyor's description of the premises follows]

Situated in the City of Kent, County of Portage, State of Ohio, being part of Lot 13 in Franklin Township, and being part of lands described in the deed to RICHARD S. MARKS (Grantor) as recorded in Instrument 201517405 on file in the Portage County Recorder's office and laying on the right side of the existing centerline of right-of-way of State Route 43 as recorded as Plat

and as shown on the POR-43-10.26 right-of-way plans prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. for the City of Kent, Ohio, and more fully described as follows:

Beginning on the existing easterly right of way line of said State Route 43 at 30.00 feet right of Station 577+65.63;

- 1. Thence North 89° 31' 25" East, along the existing southerly right of way line of East Elm Street, a distance of 8.00 feet being 30.00 feet right of East Elm Street Station 1+37.85;
- 2. Thence South 00° 10' 50" East, through the Grantor, a distance of 115.57 feet to the Grantor's southerly line being 38.00 feet right of Station 576+50.10;
- 3. Thence South 89° 36' 51" West, along said southerly line, a distance of 8.00 feet to the existing easterly right of way line of said State Route 43 being 30.00 feet right of Station 576+50.07;
- 4. Thence North 00° 10' 50" West, along said existing easterly right of way line, a distance of 115.56 feet to the **Point of Beginning.**

The above described easement contains 0.021 acres (925 square feet, including 0.000 acres within the present road occupied), within Parcel Number 17-013-20-00-121-010 and subject to all legal highways and easements of record.

This description was prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. under the supervision of Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142, and is based on a field survey performed by Arcadis U.S.,

EXHIBIT A

Page 2 of 2

LPA RX 887 T

Rev. 07/09

Inc. in September 2014 under the direction of Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142;

Bearings in this description are based on the Ohio State Plane Coordinate System, NAD83(2011), North Zone, Grid North;

Grantor claims title as recorded in Instrument 201517405 of Portage County Deed Records.

The stations referred are from the existing centerline of right-of-way of State Route 43 as shown on the POR-43-10.26 right-of-way plans unless noted otherwise.

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

Date

Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142

TYPED NAME:

VALUE ANALYSIS (\$10,000 OR LESS)

	OWNER'S NAME			COUNTY POR				
				ROUTE 43				
	Dichor	d S. Marks		SECTION	10.26			
	Kichaid	a S. Iviaiks		PID#	93442			
				STATE JOB #	441022			
Based on co	omparable sales,	which are attached, the	following values have be months in duration.	en established. All	temporary parcels	s are to be of 12		
Parcel #	Net Take Area	Land	Improvement(s)	Rem	arks	Total		
28-T	0.021 acres	0.021 acres commercial land TCE @ \$300,000/ac X 10% R _L for 12 months = \$650, rounded	10 parking bumpers @ \$50 each less 10% depreciation = \$450	purpose of pe work necessary 12 months from by the City of	Temporary easement for the purpose of performing the work necessary to regrade for 12 months from date of entry by the City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio			
				То	ıtal	\$1,100		
My compen value that fa event direct I have no di property val In recomme that occurre property wo	ment in this assign sation for comple avors the cause of ly related to the in rect or indirect produced, and no person and prior to the date ould be acquired for	ament was not contingent used this assignment is not the client, the amount of the client, the amount of the client was of this compenses of this compenses of the contemplated future and interest with respect to a sation for the property, I he of valuation caused by the or such improvement, other	re personal interest in such p	predetermined results oment or reporting of a stipulated result or	s. a predetermined valult, or the occurrence efit from the acquisi ir market value of the cquired, or by the li reasonable control	ce of a subsequer ition of such ne real property kelihood that the		
Jue.	5a-		25, 2017	-an		4/2017		
	OF PERSON PRE : John D. D'Ange			R'S CONCURRENCE ME: Bruce Bowman	1	DATE		
		ERENT FROM ODOT)		7	Λ	11		
ty of Kent				11/5-	> PE	5/9/17		
TLE: Superin	tendent of Engine	eering / Deputy Service Dir		SIGNATURE ESTAB ME: James Bowling,		DATE		
DMINICTD A	TIVE SETTLEN	MENT.	-					
PATIMOTKA	TIVE SELLLE	VAADIN R.		F.M.V.E. AMOUN	Т			
			AD	DITIONAL AMOUN				
GNATURE			DATE	OTAL CETTLEMENT				

(SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION)

TOTAL SETTLEMENT

DATE

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 28 – Richard S. Marks

Describe the 5 year sales history of the subject property: There have been no market-oriented sales of the subject property within the past five year period. For informational purposes, it is noted that the property transferred 11/02/2015 by way of Quit Claim Deed (Instrument 201517405) from Theresa M. Farwell to Richard S. Marks for a transfer price of \$47,500. The public records indicate that this was not a "valid sale." Furthermore, the transfer of the property as improved is of minimal relevance in this land analysis.

Grantor	Grantee	Date	Price	Comments
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Describe the influence on va of the subject property.	lue, if any, of prior sales	N/A		
State any information available from the title report that may affect the valuation of the subject property.		N/A		

Identify the Larger Parcel:

The larger parcel is located at the southeasterly corner of the State Route 43 (S. Water Street) and E. Elm Street intersection, City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio (GPS coordinates: 41.144244, -81.358158). The subject larger parcel is improved commercially (Dental Arts & Law Building). The commercial building and unaffected site improvements situated on the subject property have been excluded from this analysis. The parcel address is 1001 S. Water Street, Kent, Ohio 44240.

The larger parcel is mostly regular in shape and, per the right of way plans, contains 0.535 acres gross and net. It is referenced as Auditor's Parcel Number 17-013-20-00-121-010. The land has unity of ownership currently in the name of Richard S. Marks. There are 116'± fronting the easterly side of State Route 43 (S. Water Street) and 200'± fronting the southerly side of E. Elm Street.

Zoning Code:

R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District

Code Definition:

The subject property sets within an R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District, the purpose of which is to encourage residential development at densities up to approximately thirty-six (36) units per acre and allowing compatible commercial and office activities integrally designed into the neighborhood to provide optimum use of land.

The permitted uses include: single family dwellings, two family dwellings, accessory buildings incidental to the principal use (which do not include any activity conducted as a business), and signs.

Conditionally permitted uses within the R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District include: all permitted uses specified in the C: Commercial District, multifamily dwellings and apartments, home occupations, churches and other buildings for the purpose of religious worship, public and parochial schools, institutions for human care (hospitals, clinics, sanitariums, convalescent homes, nursing homes, homes for the aged, and philanthropic institutions), governmentally owned and/or operated buildings or facilities, quasi-public, institutionally or organizationally owned and/or operated recreational, instructional and meeting facilities such as those developed and used by the YMCA, YWCA, Boy Scouts, or various fraternal or community service groups (but expressly excluding fraternity and sorority houses), lodging house and boarding house accommodations (but expressly excluding fraternity and sorority houses), public utility right of ways and pertinent structures, temporary buildings and uses incidental to construction work, family care home, group home, temporary shelter, open air markets, bed and breakfast facilities, and child day care facilities.

Code Definition (Continued)

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 28 – Richard S. Marks

Per a discussion with personnel of the City of Kent Community Development Department, a commercial use as specified in the C: Commercial District (as conditionally permitted by the zoning ordinance) would likely be permitted based on the subject property's location along State Route 43.

Permitted uses in the C: Commercial District include: 1). Establishments engaged primarily in the fields of finance, insurance and real estate, such as: bank (not including drive-in facilities), credit agency other than a bank, investment company, real estate company, and insurance company. 2). Establishments engaged in providing a variety of services to individuals and business establishments, such as: personal services (such as barber and beauty shops, tailor, dressmaker, shoe repair, laundries and dry cleaning), miscellaneous business services (such as advertising news syndicates and employment agencies), medical and other health services or clinic, engineering and architectural services, legal services, accounting, auditing and bookkeeping services, non-profit organizations, professional organizations, charitable organizations, labor organizations, general offices, professional offices, administrative offices, business offices, and printing services. 3). Establishments engaged in retail trade, such as: drug store, book and stationery store, apparel store, florist, antique store, sporting goods store, jewelry store, optical goods store, home furnishings, office equipment and office supplies store, beverages (including liquor), restaurant, gift shop, food sales (including supermarket), preparation and processing of food and drink to be retailed on the premises (such as bakery, meat market, and delicatessen), sale of clothing and apparel, shoe and variety store, art store, photo store, stationery store, hobby store, toy store, book store, music store, jewelry store, gift sales, interior decorating offices, retail sale of hardware, paint store, interior decorating store, auto accessories, repair of household appliances and bicycles, carpeting and other major household articles, and cultural, educational or religious facilities.

Minimum Site Size: The following lot and building standards apply in the R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District:

Minimum Lot Area (SF)	Minimum Frontage (Ft)	Minimum Lot Width at Bldg Line (Ft)	Minimum Front Yard (Ft)	Minimum Side Yard (Ft)	Minimum Rear Yard (Ft)	Maximum Building Height (Ft)
Single Family: 6,000	Single Family: 50					
Two Family: 10,000	Two Family: 75	40	20	10	20	60
Multifamily: 10,000	Multifamily: 100	40	30	10	30	60
Commercial: None	Commercial: None	345				

This analysis considers the subject property in accordance with its highest and best use. The property, considered as vacant, is of adequate size and dimensions for legal development.

Utilities:

All public utilities are available.

Highest and Best

Use:

Based on the physical characteristics of the site and neighborhood composition, the highest and best use of the land as vacant is for commercial development.

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 28 - Richard S. Marks

Valuation/Analysis of Sales:

Sale Number:	1	2	3	4
Location:	1410 S. Water Street, City of Kent, Portage County	Norton Road, City of Hudson, Summit County	4397 Kent Road, City of Stow, Summit County	E. Waterloo Road, Springfield Township, Summit County
Sale Date:	2/4/2014	7/15/2014	7/31/2013	9/25/2015
Sale Price (effective):	\$320,000	\$350,000	\$185,000	\$176,500
Area:	1.237 acres net	1.0242 acres net	0.733 acres net	0.600 acres net
Unit Value:	\$258,690/acre	\$341,730/acre	\$252,387/acre	\$294,167/acre

Discussion:

Regarding land and assuming all other factors equal, larger parcels typically sell for a lower price per unit than smaller parcels, and parcels with favorable frontage-to-depth ratios will typically sell for a higher per unit price than parcels with ratios less favorable. A property's zoning determines the legal uses of the site. Topography is another important element of comparison because it impacts the degree of necessary site work, as well as the usability of the finished product. The presence or lack of public utilities makes a property more or less desirable, and accordingly, valuable.

Reconciliation:

The sales' value indications range from \$252,387 to \$341,730 per acre. Each sale is relevant to the analysis due to its generally similar highest and best use as vacant. The subject and Land Sale 1 are located in Portage County, and Land Sales 2, 3, and 4 are located in neighboring Summit County. Land Sales 1 and 3 are the closest in proximity. Land Sale 4 is the most recent sale, Land Sales 3 and 4 are the most similar to the subject with regard to size, and Land Sales 1 and 4 are most similar with regard to corner lot orientation. Considering all indicators and the differences that exist between the sales and the subject, a value estimate of \$300,000 per acre is concluded for the subject site. This value conclusion is within the range of the four sales.

Analysis of Site Improvements (support for contributory value):

Within the Parcel 28-T Area

Situated within the Parcel 28-T area are 10 parking bumpers with an estimated replacement cost new of \$50 each, or \$500 total. This cost is obtained in the Marshall Valuation Service cost manual Section 66. Page 3. Depreciation at 10% is applied, which leaves a depreciated cost new (contributory value) of \$450. No other improvements are expected to be taken and not replaced/restored. The office building sign is indicated on the right of way plans to be a "do not disturb" item.

Summarize the effect of the take on the residue property:

Required is a temporary construction easement, denoted as Parcel 28-T, which contains 0.021 acres net. This parcel has no effect on the residue. The temporary easement area is located along the subject property's entire existing State Route 43 frontage at a constant depth of 8'.

Are there Severance Damages?	YES:	NO:	\boxtimes
------------------------------	------	-----	-------------

Revised 03-2015

Other Comments:

All site improvements (if any) situated within existing right of way are non-compensable per Ohio Department of Transportation policies and procedures.

Project: POR-43-10.26

Parcel: 28 – Richard S. Marks

The office building sign is indicated on the right of way plans to be a "do not disturb" item.

Site improvements within the temporary easement area, such as lawn, curbing, and paved/graveled surfaces (driveways, etc.), if any, will be replaced/restored before project completion and are not included in the compensation estimate.

The property, considered as vacant, continues to be of adequate size and dimensions for legal development after the taking.

PHOTOGRAPHS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 28 – Richard S. Marks



Photograph 1 – Property view from near State Route 43 (S. Water Street) station 577+80 facing in a mostly easterly direction; the commercial building and unaffected site improvements are excluded from this analysis



Photograph 2 – View along the subject's State Route 43 (S. Water Street) frontage from near station 577+65 facing in a southerly direction showing the Parcel 28-T area; the office building sign is indicated on the right of way plans to be a "do not disturb" item

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 28 – Richard S. Marks

Photographs of Subject Property (Continued)



Photograph 3 – View along the subject's E. Elm Street frontage from near station 577+65 facing in an easterly direction

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 28 – Richard S. Marks

LARGER PARCEL VIEW - PLAT MAP


Larger Parcel: 0.535 acres net Auditor's Parcel No. 17-013-20-00-121-010



Source: Portage County Auditor (2016 aerial)


SITE SKETCH FROM RIGHT OF WAY PLANS

(Showing Parcel 28-T area in green)




Site Sketch from Right of Way Plans (Continued)

Closer View



COMPARABLE LAND SALES LOCATION MAP



Land Sale

Sale Number: One

Southwest corner of S. Water Street and Cherry Street; 1410 S. Water Street, City of Kent, Portage

Address/Location: County, Ohio

Parcel Number: 170060000020001 GPS Coordinates: 41.140892, -81.357241

Instrument Number: 201401652 – Limited Warranty Deed

Grantor: Jensen Center, Ltd., a Texas limited liability partnership

Grantee: O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC a Delaware limited liability company

Sale Price: \$320,000 Sale Date: 2/4/2014 (recording date)

Effective Unit Price: \$258,690 per acre Total Area: 1.237 acres net (deed)

Conditions of Sale: Arm's length School District: Kent CSD

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple Financing: Cash to seller

Legal Description: Lot 6

Utilities: All public available Flood Plain Data: Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; map 39133C0138D, dated August 18, 2009

Zoning: C Commercial

Streets/Access: State Route 43 (S. Water Street) and Cherry Street

Topography: Level with the road grade **Dimensions/Shape:** Slightly irregular (see below)

Highest & Best Use: Commercial Present Use: Commercial (O'Reilly Auto Parts)

Improvements: The property was vacant at the time of transfer.

Date of Inspection: 4/13/2017 Verification: Rachel Hurst, Representative of Grantor


(210)349-3667, by Andy Moore on 3/16/2017

Motivation of Parties: The property was purchased for commercial development of an O'Reilly Auto Parts store.

Comments: No encumbrances are known to either exist or to have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate

size and dimensions for development.

Photo:





Land Sale

Sale Number:

Two

Address/Location:

Vacant land on north side of Norton Road (365'± E. of Darrow Road), City of Hudson, Summit

County, Ohio

Parcel Number:

GPS Coordinates: 41.203069, -81.438991 3009438

Instrument Number:

56063371 - General Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Timothy E. Crock

Grantee:

Conrad's Tire Realty Company, Inc.

Sale Price:

\$350,000 Sale Date:

Effective Unit Price:

\$341,730 per acre

Total Area:

7/29/2014 (recording date)

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District:

1.0242 acres net **Hudson CSD**

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Financing:

Cash to seller

Legal Description:

Lot 6 N of Norton Road

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; map 39153C0129E, dated July 20, 2009

Utilities: Zoning:

District 9: Darrowville Commercial Center

Streets/Access:

Norton Road

Topography:

Level with the road grade

Dimensions/Shape: Rectangular (148' X 300'; see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Commercial (Conrad's Tire Express)

Improvements:

The property was vacant at the time of transfer.

Date of Inspection:

5/5/2015

Verification:

Steve Perney, CFO of Conrad's Tire Service,

Inc. (216)941-3333, by Andy Moore on

5/11/2015

Motivation of Parties:


The property was purchased for commercial development of a Conrad's Tire Express and Total Car Care

Comments:

No encumbrances are known to either exist or to have impacted the sale price. The lot does not meet the 200' minimum lot width requirement of the zoning district. A variance for development of the site was

granted in October 2012.

Photo:





Land Sale

Sale Number: Three

Address/Location: 4397 Kent Road (SR 5), City of Stow, Summit County, Ohio

Parcel Number: 5613057 **GPS Coordinates:** 41.156171, -81.403314

Instrument Number: 55979734 - Warranty Deed

Grantor: Shady-Stow, Ltd. An Ohio LLC

4397 Kent Road, LLC **Grantee:**

Effective Sale Price: \$185,000 Sale Date: 8/2/2013 (recording date)

0.733 acres net (0.824 acres [per deed]

Unit Price: \$252,387 per acre Total Area: minus 3,984 right of way or 0.091 acres

[per deed] = 0.733 acres)

School District: Stow Munroe Falls CSD **Conditions of Sale:** Arm's length

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple Financing: Cash

Legal Description: Being part of Lot No 20, Town 3-N, Range 10-W

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; Map **Utilities:** All public available Flood Plain Data: No. 39153C0145E - dated July 20, 2009

C-4 General Business District and SKO Stow-Kent Overlay Zoning:

Streets/Access: Kent Road (SR 5)

Topography: Generally level Dimensions/Shape: Rectangular (100'± X 320'±; see below)

Highest & Best Use: Commercial **Present Use:** Rent-A-Center

Improvements: The property was vacant at the time of sale.

Sean Sanford, Selling Agent (330)284-**Date of Inspection:** 2/28/2014 Verification: 0331, by Mark Pascek on 2/24/2014

No atypical motivations known. The Grantee purchased the land to develop a single tenant retail **Motivation of Parties:** building and related site improvements; Rent-A-Center now occupies the space.

Comments: Other than typical utility easements, no encumbrances are known to exist. The property does not meet

the 120' minimum lot requirement according to the City of Stow zoning ordinance. A variance for development of the site was granted in June 2013.

Photo:



Land Sale

Sale Number:

Four

Address/Location:

NW corner of East Waterloo Road (US 224) and Shanafelt Avenue, Springfield Township, Summit

County, Ohio

Parcel Numbers:

5102381, 5102383, and

5109105

GPS Coordinates: 41.032491, -81.432145

Instrument Number:

56159154 - Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Earl E. Kittinger

Grantee:

Vila Franca Realty, LLC

Sale Price:

\$176,500 (\$170,000 transfer price

+ \$6,500 estimated demolition)

Sale Date:

9/25/2015 (recording date)

Unit Price:

\$294,167 per acre

Total Area:

0.600 acres net

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District:

Springfield Township

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Financing:

Cash to seller

Legal Description:

Lots 6, 7, 8, and 9 in the Shanafelt's Springfield Lake Allotment

Utilities:

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; Map No. 3907810212E, dated July

20, 2009

Zoning:

C-2, Community Commercial

Streets/Access: Topography:

Shanafelt Avenue

Dimensions/Shape:

Mostly regular (see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Generally level Commercial

Present Use:

Commercial (Dunkin Donuts)

Improvements:

The site was improved with a single family dwelling and detached garage at the time of transfer. An

estimated \$6,500 has been added to the transfer price for demolition costs.

Date of Inspection:

4/13/2017

Verification:

James Zaffiro, Attorney for Grantor (216)520-1464, by Andy Moore on

4/18/2017

Motivation of Parties:

The Grantee purchased the land to develop with a Dunkin Donuts.

Comments:

No encumbrances are known to either exist or have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate size and dimensions for commercial development.





JURISDICTIONAL EXCEPTION DISCLOSURE VALUE ANALYSIS REPORT

Project: POR-43-10.26

Parcel: 28 - Richard S. Marks

This Value Analysis was developed and reported under the Jurisdictional Exception provision of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP). This report is in compliance with Section 4200.02 of the Real Estate Manual (8-10-2015) of the Ohio Department of Transportation's (ODOT) Office of Real Estate. This report is also in compliance with Federal Public Law 91-646 as amended by Public Law 100-17 49 known as the Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Title III, Section 301, (2), United States Code 42 USC Ch. 61 Sec. 4651 (2) and the Code of Federal Regulations 49CFR 24.102 (c)(2)(A) as well as the Ohio Revised Code 163.59 (C) and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5501:2-5-06 (B)(3)(b)(ii)(a) for the provisions for waiver of appraisals. The part or parts of USPAP which have been disregarded are Standards 1-3, since this assignment is not considered to be an appraisal or appraisal review. Refer to Advisory Opinion 21 of USPAP for illustration of the relationship between "valuation services" and "appraisal practice". The legal authority which justifies this action was cited above under Federal and State law for the waiver of appraisal provision. The Value Analysis report format was developed by ODOT in accordance with the waiver of the appraisal provision in both the Federal and State laws cited above. By definition, the Value Analysis Report format is not an appraisal when it is used in accordance with the Policies and Procedures of ODOT.

The appraisal waiver rule adopted by the FHWA allows agencies to determine when an appraisal is not needed if they first determine that the valuation problem was uncomplicated and has an estimated value less than the low value defined in the rule. As such, the information provided in the development of the approved report format is not considered an appraisal. This specified service was prepared by a disinterested and unbiased third party within the scope of the certificate holder's certification in compliance with Ohio Revised code 4763.12.

Jue. 5am

John D'Angelo, MAI

Ohio State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser #2001011052

Rev. 01/2016

Parcel Impact Notes

This document is meant to be an aid for scoping and is absolutely not to be interpreted as steering or directing an appraiser to an opinion that is not the appraiser's. However, appraisers must comply with applicable appraiser standards, including USPAP as appropriate, and ODOT's policies and procedures regarding appraisals

Project C/R/S	POR-43-10.26						
PID	93442		Construction Plans	N/A			
Parcel	28	I	R/W Plans	3-20-17 Arcadis US			
Owner/Tenants:	Richard S. Marks						
Take:	Parcel 28-T is a temporary constructi grading.	on easement (12 m	onths duration) contain	ing 0.021 acres for			
What is in Take?	N/A						
Appraisal Issues / Significant Issues:	There is no permanent taking. Pa containing 0.021 acres for grading, and has no adverse effect on the promplex appraisal problems or issuclarification whether the parking bl Research and analysis of comparabivation with the property rights taken. USPAP: Extraordinary Assumption the appraiser to notify the client print an assignment.	, heing a strip of la roperty, and there a ues. It is noted the locks and low retain the land sales will be as and Hypothetica	and along South Water are no damages to the a Sign is not to be disturning wall will be disturned to support a day of Conditions: ODOT's	Street. The taking is simplistic esidue property. There are no bed. The appraiser should seek bed. etermination of the market Real Estate Manual requires			
The v	aluation (appraisal) problem is:	Simplistic					
Recommended Appraisal Format:	Value Analysis						
Review Appraiser Signature / Date Typed Name	Bruce B. Bowman 4/18/2017	-					
Approved by Signature / Date Typed Name	Jon Pa Giaquinto, P.E., Senior Engi	***************************************	7				
Appraiser Acknowledgement	subject, have reviewed these Parcel I problem analysis. I am in agreement	I have reviewed the right of way plans and other pertinent parts of the construction plans, have driven by the subject, have reviewed these Parcel Impact Notes and I have independently performed my own appraisal problem analysis. I am in agreement regarding the valuation (appraisal) problem, the determination of the complexity of this problem, and I agree that the recommended format is appropriate for use during the					
Signature / Date Typed Name	John D. D'Angelo, MAI, Appraiser	W 4/21/	רו				

APPRAISER DISCLOSURE STATEMENT					
In compliance with Ohio Revised Code Section 4763.12					
1. Name of Appraiser John D. D'Angelo					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. Class of Certification/Licensure:					
Certification/License Number: 2001011052					
3. Scope: This report is within the scope of my Certification or License is not within the scope of my Certification or License.					
· 在自身的是自身的是是自己的自身的。					
4. Service Provided by: X Disinterested & Unbiased Third Party Interested & Biased Third Party Interested Third Party on Contingent Fee Basis					
是一个人,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的。 第一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们就是一个人的,我们					
5. Signature of person preparing and reporting this Value Analysis					
Jue. 5am					
This form must be included in conjunction with all appraisal assignments or specialized services performed by a state- certified or state-licensed real estate appraiser					

State of Ohio
Department of Transportation
Division of Real Estate
Appraiser Section
Cleveland (216) 787-3100

RE 25-13

Review Checklist for the Value Analysis

Rev.	01/2016			Value Analysis			County	PORTAGE		
							Route	43		
							Section	10.26		
							Parcel(s)	28		
A		IOINI	D DIANG	CELO MAI	V	NT-	PID# Owner	93442 RICHARD S.	MADVC	
	raiser:			GELO, MAI	_ Yes	No	Owner	RICHARD 3.	MAKKS	
•	•		•	OOT to perform V.A.'s?	⊠ V	L				
	ewer:		E B. BOV		_ Yes	No				
Is th	e Keviewe	r pre-ap	proved by	ODOT to review V.A.'s?	\boxtimes	Ш				
				shall identify each valuation report accepted (meets all requirements, but						
	Recomn	nended	=	This means that the report me the acquiring agency's offer.		nany Fe	deral and S	tate requireme	nts and is the	basis for
	Accepted	d	=	This means that the report mused as the basis for the acqu				tate requireme	nts but is not	being
	Not Acc	epted	=	This means that the report do not be used as the basis for the			•	al and State rea	quirements a	nd will
									Yes	No
1.		e valuati due prop		em uncomplicated and is it obvi	ous that (there are	no damag	es to the	\boxtimes	
2.	Is th	e estima	ted comp	ensation \$10,000 or less?					\boxtimes	
3.	Has	the appr	aiser used	the correct forms? (Value Ana	alysis froi	nt and b	ack)		\boxtimes	
4.	Have Sale		ts of the f	orm filled out and are mandator	y attachn	nents in	cluded? (C	omparable	\boxtimes	
5.	Do t	he take a	areas on t	he V.A. correspond with the tak	ce areas o	n the R	W Plan?		\boxtimes	
6.	Is th	e V.A. n	nathemati	ically accurate?					\boxtimes	
7.	Has	the appr	aiser accı	urately identified improvements	within th	ne take a	area		\boxtimes	
8.	Has	the large	er parcel l	oeen identified?					\boxtimes	
9.	How	large is	the large	r parcel?					0.535 Acre	es
10.	Has	the appr	aiser con	sidered unity of title, unity of us	se and co	ntiguity'	?			
11.	Has	zoning b	oeen iden	tified?					\boxtimes	
12.	Has	the appr	aiser indi	cated what uses are permitted b	y zoning'	?			Yes- MFR	/Comm
13.	Has	the appr	aiser indi	cated if the existing use conforr	ns to zon	ing?			\boxtimes	П
14.				cated what the minimum site size		-	llowed?		Yes- no m	in.
15.				cated if the property before the						П
16.				cated if the residue conforms to					\boxtimes	
17.				t use been identified?					\boxtimes	
18.		_		cated whether the highest & bes	st use is n	ermitted	d under zor	ning?	\boxtimes	
19.				ussed the effect of the take?				_	\boxtimes	

Rev. 03/2015

20.	There can be no adverse effect to the residue other than a nominal cost to cure. Is there a cost to cure and if so is it nominal?		\boxtimes
21.	Do the comparable sales comply with ODOT standards?	\boxtimes	
22.	Are comparable sales analyzed and compared to the subject?	\boxtimes	
23.	Is a range of value described?	\boxtimes	
24.	Has the appraiser concluded which sales received the greatest weight and explained why?	\boxtimes	
25.	Do the sales share the same or nearly the same highest & best use?	\boxtimes	
26.	Do the sales have the same or nearly the same zoning as the subject?	\boxtimes	
27.	Has the appraiser concluded a unit value for the subject property?	\boxtimes	
28.	Is it within the value range indicated by the sales?	\boxtimes	
29.	If not, has adequate information been given?	\boxtimes	
30.	Has the allocated value of the site improvements taken been adequately supported?	\boxtimes	
31.	Have cost to cures or temporary takes been adequately discussed and supported, if any?	\boxtimes	
32.	Do you recommend the report as the basis for the agency to establish FMVE.	\boxtimes	
33.	If yes, what is that estimated compensation amount?	\$1,100	
34.	If no, then Why Not?		
35.	If rejected, has a review letter been sent to the agency and the appraiser?		
	Reviewer's Certification		
I here	eby certify that:		
\boxtimes	I have reviewed the R/W Plans		
\boxtimes	I have physically inspected the take area of the subject property.		
\boxtimes	I have field reviewed the comparable sales used in the valuation.		
	I concur that the Value Analysis format is the proper valuation format in that it is obvious that the taimpact the residue property, that the valuation problem is uncomplicated and that the FMVE is \$10		ot adversely
\boxtimes	I concur that the Value Analysis complies with ODOT's Policies and Procedures Manual.		
\boxtimes	I have no present or prospective interest in the subject property.		
\boxtimes	My employment and compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from this analy conclusions or reporting pre-determined results.	sis, opinions	or
	DATE: _5/4/2017		
	Signature L 22 7		

Typed Name: BRUCE B. BOWMAN

LPA RE 46 Rev. Oct. 2007

TITLE REPORT



C/R/S PARCEL PID SJN POR-43-10.26 31-T 93422 441022

INSTRUCTION:

- (1) R.C. 163.01 (C) defines "owner" as "any individual, partnership, association, or corporation having any estate, title, or interest in any real property sought to be appropriated." The City of Kent expands this definition to include, but is not limited to, all fee owners, life tenants, remaindermen, mortgagees, tenants and subtenants (whether or not a lease is recorded), occupants, possessors, lienholders, easement owners, judgement creditors, etc.
- (2) The City of Kent procedures require that pertinent attachments be part of the Title Report/Title Chain in compliance with Section 5102.04 (II)(J) of the Ohio Department of Transportation's Real Estate Procedures Manual.

(1)	FEE OR	OTHER	PRIMARY	OWNERS

Name & Address

CMG One, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company

N?A

Fee Simple,
Full Interest

Parcel Address: 711 Water Street Kent, OH 44240

Mailing Address: PO Box 647 Aurora. OH 44202

Statutory Agent: Charles E. Galloway 711 Water Street Kent, OH 44240

(2) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT PREMISES

(From deed to present owner or other instruments containing a valid description. Give deeds of record, include the size of each parcel)

Current Deed: #200719002 (Attached)

Situated in the City of Kent, County of Portage, State of Ohio and known as being a park of Lot No. 5 in Normal Hill Addition to the City of Kent, as recorded in Plat Book 3, Page 12, having dimensions of 125' x 61.5', no acreage stated

PPN: 17-013-2000-026-000

Name & Address

None Found

(3-A) MORTGAGES, LIENS AND ENCUMBRANCES

None i ound		
(3-B) <u>LEASES</u>		
Name & Address	Commercial/Residential	Term
None Found		
(3-C) <u>EASEMENTS</u>		
Name & Address	Туре	

Date Filed

(4) **DEFECTS IN TITLE-IRREGULARITIES-COMMENTS** (Record or Off Record)

None Found

None Found

Amount & Type of Lien

(5)	IAXES	AND SPECIAL ASSE	SSMENTS (List by audito	rs tax parce	l number, descriptio	n, amount, etc.)
County:	Portage	40000000	City:	Kent	School Di	strict: Kent CSD
AUD. PAF	R. NO(S)	Land 100%	Building 100%	To	otal – 100%	Taxes per ½ year
17013200	0026000	\$37,900	\$75,000		12,900	\$1,478.13 1st 1/2 16 paid
(6)	CAUV (C	urrent Agricultural	Use Value)			
	is the pro Commen		V Program: Yes: ☐ I	No: ⊠		
			**			
abstract of the	ne real esta ord matters s the same	te records for that pe personally known by	eriod of time, which reflects	all currently g to Parcel(s Portage Co m)	relevant instruments) 31-T and present	0
		98019	UPDATE TITL	E BLOCK		
abstract of th	e real esta	te records for that pe	riod of time, which reflects he undersigned pertaining	all currently to Parcel(s)	relevant instrument	rifies that this Title Report is an ts and proceedings of record and ently standing in the name of c records of
Date & Time	e		(am/pm)			
			Signed			7
			Print Nan	ne		
Comments	from the a	gent who prepared	I the Title Update			

EXHIBIT A

Page 1 of 2

93442

PID

LPA RX 887 T

Ver. Date 10/13/16

PARCEL 31-T
POR-43-10.26
TEMPORARY EASEMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF
PERFORMING THE WORK NECESSARY TO
REGRADE PARKING AREA
FOR 12 MONTHS FROM DATE OF ENTRY BY THE
CITY OF KENT, PORTAGE COUNTY, OHIO

[Surveyor's description of the premises follows]

Situated in the City of Kent, County of Portage, State of Ohio, being part of Lot 13 in Franklin Township, and being part of lands described in the deed to CMG ONE, LLC (Grantor) as recorded in Instrument 200719002 on file in the Portage County Recorder's office and laying on the right side of the existing centerline of right-of-way of State Route 43 as recorded as Plat

and as shown on the POR-43-10.26 right-of-way plans prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. for the City of Kent, Ohio, and more fully described as follows:

Beginning on the existing easterly right of way line of said State Route 43 at 30.00 feet right of Station 586+02.61;

- 1. Thence North 89° 59' 35" East, along the Grantor's northerly line, a distance of 8.00 feet being 38.00 feet right of Station 586+02.58;
- 2. Thence South 00° 10′ 50″ East, through the Grantor, a distance of 61.36 feet to the Grantor's southerly line being 38.00 feet right of Station 585+41.22;
- 3. Thence South 89° 00′ 51″ West, along said southerly line, a distance of 8.00 feet to said existing easterly right of way line of said State Route 43 being 30.00 feet right of Station 585+41.11;
- 4. Thence North 00° 10′ 50″ West, along said existing easterly right of way line, a distance of 61.50 feet to the **Point of Beginning**.

The above described easement contains 0.011 acres (491 square feet, including 0.000 acres within the present road occupied), within Parcel Number 17-013-20-00-026-000 and subject to all legal highways and easements of record.

This description was prepared by Arcadis U.S., Inc. under the supervision of Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142, and is based on a field survey performed by Arcadis U.S.,

EXHIBIT A

Page 2 of 2

Rev. 07/09

LPA RX 887 T

Inc. in September 2014 under the direction of Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142;

Bearings in this description are based on the Ohio State Plane Coordinate System, NAD83(2011), North Zone, Grid North;

Grantor claims title as recorded in Instrument 200719002 of Portage County Deed Records.

The stations referred are from the existing centerline of right-of-way of State Route 43 as shown on the POR-43-10.26 right-of-way plans unless noted otherwise.

Arcadis U.S., Inc.

Date
Robert G. Hoy, Ohio Professional Surveyor No. 8142

VALUE ANALYSIS (\$10,000 OR LESS)

OWNER'S NAME	COUNTY	POR
	ROUTE	43
CMG One, LLC	SECTION	10.26
CIVIO One, LEC	PID#	93442
	STATE JOB #	441022

Based on comparable sales, which are attached, the following values have been established. All temporary parcels are to be of 12 months in duration.

Parcel #	Net Take Area	Land	Improvement(s)	Remarks	Total
31-T	0.011 acres	0.011 acres commercial land TCE @ \$275,000/ac X 10% R _L for 12 months = \$325, rounded	None	Temporary easement for the purpose of performing the work necessary to regrade parking area for 12 months from date of entry by the City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio	\$325
				\$	
				Total	\$325

Conflict of Interest Certification [49CFR 24.102(n) and OAC 5501; 2-5-06(B)(3)(a)]

- 1. My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- 2. My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this compensation recommendation.
- 3. I have no direct or indirect present or contemplated future personal interest in such property or in any benefit from the acquisition of such property valued, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- 4. In recommending the compensation for the property, I have disregarded any decrease or increase in the fair market value of the real property that occurred prior to the date of valuation caused by the public improvement for which such property is acquired, or by the likelihood that the property would be acquired for such improvement, other than that due to physical deterioration within the reasonable control of the owner.

5. I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment

April 22, 2017 SIGN FURE OF PERSON PREPARING ANALYSIS DATE	7 2 7 5/5/2017 REVIEWER'S CONCURRENCE DATE
TYPED NAME: John D. D'Angelo, MAI	TYPED NAME: Bruce Bowman
NAME OF AGENCY (IF DIFFERENT FROM ODOT) City of Kent TITLE: Superintendent of Engineering / Deputy Service Director	AGENCY SIGNATURE ESTABLISHING FMVE DATE TYPED NAME: James Bowling, P.E.
ADMINISTRATIVE SETTLEMENT: SIGNATURE DATE TYPED NAME:	F.M.V.E. AMOUNTADDITIONAL AMOUNT TOTAL SETTLEMENT

(SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION)

Describe the 5 year sales history of the subject property: There have been no market-oriented sales of the subject property within the past five year period.

Grantor Grantee		Date	Price	Comments	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Describe the influence on va of the subject property.	alue, if any, of prior sales	N/A			
State any information available from the title report that may affect the valuation of the subject property.		N/A			

Identify the Larger Parcel:

The larger parcel is located along the easterly side of S. Water Street (State Route 43) approximately 65' south of the S. Water Street and E. Hall Street intersection, City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio (GPS coordinates: 41.146484, -81.358153). The subject larger parcel is improved residentially/commercially (the county property card describes the land use as apartment over retail). The building and unaffected site improvements situated on the subject property have been excluded from this analysis. The parcel address is 711 S. Water Street, Kent, Ohio 44240.

The larger parcel is rectangular in shape and, per the right of way plans, contains 0.1779 acres gross and net. It is referenced as Auditor's Parcel Number 17-013-20-00-026-000. The land has unity of ownership currently in the name of CMG One, LLC. There are 62'± fronting the easterly side of State Route 43 (S. Water Street).

Zoning Code:

R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District

Code Definition:

The subject property sets within an R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District, the purpose of which is to encourage residential development at densities up to approximately thirty-six (36) units per acre and allowing compatible commercial and office activities integrally designed into the neighborhood to provide optimum use of land.

The permitted uses include: single family dwellings, two family dwellings, accessory buildings incidental to the principal use (which do not include any activity conducted as a business), and signs.

Conditionally permitted uses within the R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District include: all permitted uses specified in the C: Commercial District, multifamily dwellings and apartments, home occupations, churches and other buildings for the purpose of religious worship, public and parochial schools, institutions for human care (hospitals, clinics, sanitariums, convalescent homes, nursing homes, homes for the aged, and philanthropic institutions), governmentally owned and/or operated buildings or facilities, quasi-public, institutionally or organizationally owned and/or operated recreational, instructional and meeting facilities such as those developed and used by the YMCA, YWCA, Boy Scouts, or various fraternal or community service groups (but expressly excluding fraternity and sorority houses), lodging house and boarding house accommodations (but expressly excluding fraternity and sorority houses), public utility right of ways and pertinent structures, temporary buildings and uses incidental to construction work, family care home, group home, temporary shelter, open air markets, bed and breakfast facilities, and child day care facilities.

Code Definition (Continued)

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 31 - CMG One, LLC

Per a discussion with personnel of the City of Kent Community Development Department. a commercial use as specified in the C: Commercial District (as conditionally permitted by the zoning ordinance) would likely be permitted based on the subject property's location along State Route 43.

Permitted uses in the C: Commercial District include: 1). Establishments engaged primarily in the fields of finance, insurance and real estate, such as: bank (not including drive-in facilities), credit agency other than a bank, investment company, real estate company, and insurance company. 2). Establishments engaged in providing a variety of services to individuals and business establishments, such as: personal services (such as barber and beauty shops, tailor, dressmaker, shoe repair, laundries and dry cleaning), miscellaneous business services (such as advertising news syndicates and employment agencies), medical and other health services or clinic, engineering and architectural services, legal services. accounting, auditing and bookkeeping services, non-profit organizations, professional organizations, charitable organizations, labor organizations, general offices, professional offices, administrative offices, business offices, and printing services. 3). Establishments engaged in retail trade, such as: drug store, book and stationery store, apparel store, florist, antique store, sporting goods store, jewelry store, optical goods store, home furnishings, office equipment and office supplies store, beverages (including liquor), restaurant, gift shop, food sales (including supermarket), preparation and processing of food and drink to be retailed on the premises (such as bakery, meat market, and delicatessen), sale of clothing and apparel, shoe and variety store, art store, photo store, stationery store, hobby store, toy store, book store, music store, jewelry store, gift sales, interior decorating offices, retail sale of hardware, paint store, interior decorating store, auto accessories, repair of household appliances and bicycles, carpeting and other major household articles, and cultural, educational or religious facilities.

Minimum Site Size: The following lot and building standards apply in the R-C: High Density Multifamily/Commercial Urban Residential District:

Minimum Lot Area (SF)	Minimum Frontage (Ft)	Minimum Lot Width at Bldg Line (Ft)	Minimum Front Yard (Ft)	Minimum Side Yard (Ft)	Minimum Rear Yard (Ft)	Maximum Building Height (Ft)
Single Family: 6,000	Single Family: 50					
Two Family: 10,000	Two Family: 75					
Multifamily: 10,000	Multifamily: 100	40	30	10	30	60
Commercial: None	Commercial: None					

This analysis considers the subject property in accordance with its highest and best use. The property, considered as vacant, is of adequate size and dimensions for legal development.

Utilities:

All public utilities are available.

Highest and Best

Use:

Based on the physical characteristics of the site and neighborhood composition, the highest and best use of the land as vacant is for commercial development.

Valuation/Analysis of Sales:

Sale Number:	1	2	3	4	
Location:	1410 S. Water Street, City of Kent, Portage County	S. Side of W. Main Street, City of Kent, Portage County	4397 Kent Road, City of Stow, Summit County	E. Waterloo Road, Springfield Township, Summit County	
Sale Date:	2/4/2014	10/5/2015	7/31/2013	9/25/2015	
Sale Price (effective):	\$320,000	\$36,500	\$185,000	\$176,500	
Area:	1.237 acres net	0.2066 acres net	0.733 acres net	0.600 acres net	
Unit Value:	\$258,690/acre	\$176,670/acre	\$252,387/acre	\$294,167/acre	

Discussion:

Regarding land and assuming all other factors equal, larger parcels typically sell for a lower price per unit than smaller parcels, and parcels with favorable frontage-to-depth ratios will typically sell for a higher per unit price than parcels with ratios less favorable. A property's zoning determines the legal uses of the site. Topography is another important element of comparison because it impacts the degree of necessary site work, as well as the usability of the finished product. The presence or lack of public utilities makes a property more or less desirable, and accordingly, valuable.

Project: POR-43-10.26

Parcel: 31 - CMG One, LLC

Reconciliation:

The sales' value indications range from \$176,670 to \$294,167 per acre. Each sale is relevant to the analysis due to its generally similar highest and best use as vacant. The subject and Land Sales 1 and 2 are located in the City of Kent, and Land Sales 3 and 4 are located in neighboring Summit County. Land Sales 1 and 2 are the closest in proximity, Land Sales 2 and 4 are the most recent sales, Land Sale 2 is the most similar to the subject with regard to size, and Land Sales 2 and 3 are most similar with regard to interior lot orientation. Considering all indicators and the differences that exist between the sales and the subject, a value estimate of \$275,000 per acre is concluded for the subject site. This value conclusion is within the range of the four sales.

Analysis of Site Improvements (support for contributory value):

Within the Parcel 31-T Area

There are no site improvements situated within the Parcel 31-T area that are to be taken and not replaced/restored.

Summarize the effect of the take on the residue property:

Required is a temporary construction easement, denoted as Parcel 31-T, which contains 0.011 acres net. This parcel has no effect on the residue. The temporary easement area is located along the subject property's entire S. Water Street frontage at a constant depth of 8'.

Are there Severance Damages?	YES:	NO:	X
-------------------------------------	------	-----	---

Other Comments:

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 31 – CMG One, LLC

All site improvements (if any) situated within existing right of way are non-compensable per Ohio Department of Transportation policies and procedures.

Site improvements within the temporary easement area, such as lawn, curbing, and paved/graveled surfaces (driveways, etc.), if any, will be replaced/restored before project completion and are not included in the compensation estimate.

The property, considered as vacant, continues to be of adequate size and dimensions for legal development after the taking.


PHOTOGRAPHS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Project: POR-43-10.26

Parcel: 31 - CMG One, LLC




Photograph 1 – Property view from State Route 43 (S. Water Street) near station 586+10 facing in a mostly easterly direction; the building and unaffected site improvements are excluded from this analysis



Photograph 2 – View along the subject's State Route 43 (S. Water Street) frontage from near station 586+00 facing in a southerly direction showing the Parcel 31-T area

LARGER PARCEL VIEW - PLAT MAP

Larger Parcel: 0.1779 acres net Auditor's Parcel No. 17-013-20-00-026-000




Source: Portage County Auditor (2016 aerial)

Project: POR-43-10.26

Parcel: 31 - CMG One, LLC

SITE SKETCH FROM RIGHT OF WAY PLANS


(Showing Parcel 31-T area in green)




Site Sketch from Right of Way Plans (Continued)

Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 31 – CMG One, LLC

Closer View



COMPARABLE LAND SALES LOCATION MAP



Land Sale

Sale Number: One

Southwest corner of S. Water Street and Cherry Street; 1410 S. Water Street, City of Kent, Portage

Address/Location: County, Ohio

Parcel Number: 170060000020001 GPS Coordinates: 41.140892, -81.357241

Instrument Number: 201401652 – Limited Warranty Deed

Grantor: Jensen Center, Ltd., a Texas limited liability partnership

Grantee: O'Reilly Auto Enterprises, LLC a Delaware limited liability company

Sale Price: \$320,000 Sale Date: 2/4/2014 (recording date)

Effective Unit Price: \$258,690 per acre Total Area: 1.237 acres net (deed)

Conditions of Sale: Arm's length School District: Kent CSD

Conditions of Sale:Arm's lengthSchool District:Kent CSDProperty Rights Conveyed:Fee simpleFinancing:Cash to seller

Legal Description: Lot 6

Utilities: All public available Flood Plain Data: Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; map 39133C0138D, dated August 18, 2009

Zoning: C Commercial

Streets/Access: State Route 43 (S. Water Street) and Cherry Street

Topography: Level with the road grade Dimensions/Shape: Slightly irregular (see below)

Highest & Best Use: Commercial Present Use: Commercial (O'Reilly Auto Parts)

Improvements: The property was vacant at the time of transfer.

Date of Inspection: 4/13/2017 **Verification:** Rachel Hurst, Representative of Grantor


(210)349-3667, by Andy Moore on 3/16/2017

Motivation of Parties: The property was purchased for commercial development of an O'Reilly Auto Parts store.

Comments: No encumbrances are known to either exist or to have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate

size and dimensions for development.

Photo:





Land Sale

Sale Number:

Two

Address/Location:

South side of W. Main Street, City of Kent, Portage County, Ohio

Parcel Number:

GPS Coordinates: 41.151517, -81.383113 17010200005300

Instrument Number:

201515561 - Fiduciary Deed

Grantor:

Norman F. Jennings and Betty A. Jennings, Co-Trustees of the Franklin Family Revocable Living

Trust dated August 3, 1993

Grantee:

Talion Insurance Agency, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company

Sale Price:

Utilities:

\$36,500

Sale Date: 10/5/2015 (recording date)

Effective Unit Price:

\$176,670 per acre

Total Area: 0.2066 acres net

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District:

Kent CSD

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Financing:

Cash to seller

Legal Description:

Cressbrook Lot 31 F 50.13

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; map 39133C0119E, dated March 7, 2017

ICR Intensive Commercial Residential Zoning:

Streets/Access:

W. Main Street

Topography:

Level with the road grade

Dimensions/Shape: Rectangular

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Vacant

Improvements:

The property was vacant at the time of transfer.

Date of Inspection:

4/13/2017

Verification:

Lauren Talion, Representative of Grantee (330)677-9700, by Andy Moore on 3/17/2017

Motivation of Parties:


The property was purchased for future expansion of a neighboring insurance business.

Comments:

No encumbrances are known to either exist or to have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate

size and dimensions for development.

Photo:





Land Sale

Sale Number:

Three

Address/Location:

4397 Kent Road (SR 5), City of Stow, Summit County, Ohio

Parcel Number:

5613057 **GPS Coordinates:** 41.156171, -81.403314

Instrument Number:

55979734 - Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Shady-Stow, Ltd. An Ohio LLC

Grantee:

Unit Price:

4397 Kent Road, LLC

Effective Sale Price:

\$185,000

\$252,387 per acre

Sale Date: 8/2/2013 (recording date)

> 0.733 acres net (0.824 acres [per deed] minus 3,984 right of way or 0.091 acres

[per deed] = 0.733 acres)

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District:

Stow Munroe Falls CSD

Property Rights Conveyed: Fee simple

Financing:

Total Area:

Cash

Legal Description:

Being part of Lot No 20, Town 3-N, Range 10-W

Utilities: Zoning:

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; minimal flood hazard area; Map No. 39153C0145E - dated July 20, 2009

C-4 General Business District and SKO Stow-Kent Overlay

Streets/Access: Topography:

Kent Road (SR 5)

Generally level

Dimensions/Shape: Rectangular (100'± X 320'±; see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Rent-A-Center

Improvements:

The property was vacant at the time of sale.

Date of Inspection:

2/28/2014

Verification:

Sean Sanford, Selling Agent (330)284-0331, by Mark Pascek on 2/24/2014

Motivation of Parties:

No atypical motivations known. The Grantee purchased the land to develop a single tenant retail

building and related site improvements; Rent-A-Center now occupies the space.

Comments:

Other than typical utility easements, no encumbrances are known to exist. The property does not meet the 120' minimum lot requirement according to the City of Stow zoning ordinance. A variance for

development of the site was granted in June 2013.

Photo:





Land Sale

Sale Number:

Four

Address/Location:

NW corner of East Waterloo Road (US 224) and Shanafelt Avenue, Springfield Township, Summit

County, Ohio

5102381, 5102383, and Parcel Numbers:

5109105

GPS Coordinates: 41.032491, -81.432145

Instrument Number:

56159154 - Warranty Deed

Grantor:

Earl E. Kittinger

Grantee:

Vila Franca Realty, LLC

Sale Price:

\$176,500 (\$170,000 transfer price

+ \$6,500 estimated demolition)

Sale Date:

9/25/2015 (recording date)

Unit Price:

\$294,167 per acre

Total Area:

0.600 acres net

Conditions of Sale:

Arm's length

School District:

Springfield Township

Property Rights Conveyed: Fce simple

Financing:

Cash to seller

Legal Description:

Lots 6, 7, 8, and 9 in the Shanafelt's Springfield Lake Allotment

All public available

Flood Plain Data:

Zone X; Map No. 3907810212E, dated July

20, 2009

Zoning:

Utilities:

C-2, Community Commercial

Streets/Access: Topography:

Shanafelt Avenue Generally level

Dimensions/Shape:

Mostly regular (see below)

Highest & Best Use:

Commercial

Present Use:

Commercial (Dunkin Donuts)

Improvements:

The site was improved with a single family dwelling and detached garage at the time of transfer. An

estimated \$6,500 has been added to the transfer price for demolition costs.

Date of Inspection:

4/13/2017

Verification:

James Zaffiro, Attorney for Grantor

(216)520-1464, by Andy Moore on

4/18/2017

Motivation of Parties:

The Grantee purchased the land to develop with a Dunkin Donuts.

Comments:

No encumbrances are known to either exist or have impacted the sale price. The lot is of adequate size

and dimensions for commercial development





JURISDICTIONAL EXCEPTION DISCLOSURE VALUE ANALYSIS REPORT Project: POR-43-10.26 Parcel: 31 – CMG One, LLC

This Value Analysis was developed and reported under the Jurisdictional Exception provision of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP). This report is in compliance with Section 4200.02 of the Real Estate Manual (8-10-2015) of the Ohio Department of Transportation's (ODOT) Office of Real Estate. This report is also in compliance with Federal Public Law 91-646 as amended by Public Law 100-17 49 known as the Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Title III, Section 301, (2), United States Code 42 USC Ch. 61 Sec. 4651 (2) and the Code of Federal Regulations 49CFR 24.102 (c)(2)(A) as well as the Ohio Revised Code 163.59 (C) and the Ohio Administrative Code Section 5501:2-5-06 (B)(3)(b)(ii)(a) for the provisions for waiver of appraisals. The part or parts of USPAP which have been disregarded are Standards 1-3, since this assignment is not considered to be an appraisal or appraisal review. Refer to Advisory Opinion 21 of USPAP for illustration of the relationship between "valuation services" and "appraisal practice". The legal authority which justifies this action was cited above under Federal and State law for the waiver of appraisal provision. The Value Analysis report format was developed by ODOT in accordance with the waiver of the appraisal provision in both the Federal and State laws cited above. By definition, the Value Analysis Report format is not an appraisal when it is used in accordance with the Policies and Procedures of ODOT.

The appraisal waiver rule adopted by the FHWA allows agencies to determine when an appraisal is not needed if they first determine that the valuation problem was uncomplicated and has an estimated value less than the low value defined in the rule. As such, the information provided in the development of the approved report format is not considered an appraisal. This specified service was prepared by a disinterested and unbiased third party within the scope of the certificate holder's certification in compliance with Ohio Revised code 4763.12.

Jul. Sur

John D'Angelo, MAI

Ohio State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser #2001011052

Rev. 01/2016

Parcel Impact Notes

This document is meant to be an aid for scoping and is absolutely not to be interpreted as steering or directing an appraiser to an opinion that is not the appraiser's. However, appraisers must comply with applicable appraiser standards, including USPAP as appropriate, and ODOT's policies and procedures regarding appraisals

Project C/R/S	POR-43-10.26						
PID	93442		Construction Plans	N/A			
Parcel	31		R/W Plans	3-20-17 Arcadis US			
Owner/Tenants:	CMG One, LLC						
Take:	Parcel 31-T is a temporary constructi grading the parking area.	on easement (12 i	months duration) contain	ing 0.011 acres for re-			
What is in Take?	N/A						
Appraisal Issues / Significant Issues:	There is no permanent taking. Pa containing 0.011 acres for re-gradit the property, and there are no dam or issues. Research and analysis of comparab value for the property rights taken. USPAP: Extraordinary Assumption the appraiser to notify the client pri in an assignment.	ng the parking are lages to the residu le land sales will as and Hypothetic	a. The laking is simplistic property. There are not be needed to support a de- al Conditions: ODOT's	ic and has no adverse effect of complex appraisal problem etermination of the market Real Estate Manual requires			
The va	luation (appraisal) problem is:	Simplistic					
Recommended Appraisal Format:	Value Analysis						
Review Appraiser Signature / Date Typed Name	Bruce B. Bowman 4/18/2017	-					
Approved by Signature / Date	Con P Ataximits 4.21.17						
Typed Name	Jon P/Giaquinto, P.E., Senior-Engineer, City of Kent						
Appraiser Acknowledgement	subject, have reviewed these Parcel In problem analysis. I am in agreement	I have reviewed the right of way plans and other pertinent parts of the construction plans, have driven by the subject, have reviewed these Parcel Impact Notes and I have independently performed my own appraisal problem analysis. I am in agreement regarding the valuation (appraisal) problem, the determination of the complexity of this problem, and I agree that the recommended format is appropriate for use during the acquisition phase of this project.					
Signature / Date Typed Name Typed Name							

APPRAISER DISCLOSURE STATEMENT						
In compliance with Ohio Revised Code Section 4763.12						
1. Name of Appraiser						
2. Class of Certification/Licensure: X Certified General Licensed Residential Temporary General Licensed						
Certification/License Number: 2001011052						
Live to the first of the second of the secon						
3. Scope: This report X is within the scope of my Certification or License. is not within the scope of my Certification or License.						
是一个人,也是一个人的人,也是一个人的人,也是一个人的人,也是一个人的人,也是一个人的人的人,也是一个人的人的人,也是一个人的人的人,也是一个人的人的人,也是一						
4. Service Provided by: X Disinterested & Unbiased Third Party Interested & Biased Third Party Interested Third Party on Contingent Fee Basis						
5. Signature of person preparing and reporting this Value Analysis						
Jue. 5am						
This form must be included in conjunction with all appraisal assignments or specialized services performed by a state- certified or state-licensed real estate appraiser						

State of Ohio
Department of Transportation
Division of Real Estate
Appraiser Section
Cleveland (216) 787-3100

RE 25-13 Rev. 01/2016

Review Checklist for the Value Analysis

Rev.	01/2016			Value Analysis			County	PORTAGE		
							Route	43		
							Section	10.26		
							Parcel(s)	31		
							PID#	93442		
App	raiser:	JOHN	D. D'A	NGELO, MAI	Yes	No	Owner	CMG ONE, LI	.C	
Is ap	praiser pr	e-approv	ed by (ODOT to perform V.A.'s?	\boxtimes					
Revi	iewer:	BRUC	E B. B0	OWMAN	Yes	No				
Is th	e Review	er pre-ap	proved	by ODOT to review V.A.'s?	\boxtimes					
49 C	CFR 24.10 ved to be j	04(a) The ust compe	reviewe ensation)	er shall identify each valuation report a , accepted (meets all requirements, bu	as recomr t not sele	mended (a cted as re	as the basis to	for the establishm	nent of the am	ount I.
	Recomi	nended	=	This means that the report me the acquiring agency's offer.il		many Fee	deral and S	tate requiremen	nts and is the	basis for
	Accepte	ed	=	This means that the report me used as the basis for the acqui				tate requiremen	ıts but is not	being
	Not Acc	epted	=	This means that the report do not be used as the basis for the				al and State rec	luirements a	nd will
									Yes	No
1.		ne valuati due prop		blem uncomplicated and is it obvious	ous that t	there are	no damag	es to the	\boxtimes	
2.	Is th	ne estima	ted con	npensation \$10,000 or less?					\boxtimes	
3.	Has the appraiser used the correct forms? (Value Analysis front and back)							\boxtimes		
4.	 Have all parts of the form filled out and are mandatory attachments included? (Cor Sales) 					omparable	\boxtimes			
5.	Do	the take a	areas or	the V.A. correspond with the take	e areas o	n the R/	W Plan?		\boxtimes	
6.	Is th	Is the V.A. mathematically accurate?					\boxtimes			
7.	Has the appraiser accurately identified improvements within the				ne take a	rea		\boxtimes		
8.	Has	Has the larger parcel been identified?					\boxtimes			
9.	Hov	How large is the larger parcel?					0.1779 Ac	res		
10.	Has	the appr	aiser co	onsidered unity of title, unity of use	e and co	ntiguity?	,		\boxtimes	
11.	Has	zoning b	een ide	entified?					\boxtimes	
12.	Has	the appr	aiser in	dicated what uses are permitted by	zoning'	?			Yes- MFR	:/Comm
13.	Has	the appr	aiser in	dicated if the existing use conform	is to zon	ing?				
14.	Has	the appr	aiser in	dicated what the minimum site siz	e per zoi	ning is a	llowed?		Yes- no m	in.
15.	Has	the appr	aiser in	dicated if the property before the t	ake conf	orms to	site size?		\boxtimes	
16.	Has	the appr	aiser in	dicated if the residue conforms to	site size'	?			\boxtimes	
17.				est use been identified?					\boxtimes	
18.				dicated whether the highest & best	use is p	ermitted	under zon	ing?	\boxtimes	
19.	Has	the appra	aiser di	scussed the effect of the take?	•					

20.	There can be no adverse effect to the residue other than a nominal cost to cure. Is there a cost to cure and if so is it nominal?		\boxtimes				
21.	Do the comparable sales comply with ODOT standards?	\boxtimes					
22.	Are comparable sales analyzed and compared to the subject?	\boxtimes					
23.	Is a range of value described?	\boxtimes					
24.	Has the appraiser concluded which sales received the greatest weight and explained why?	\boxtimes					
25.	Do the sales share the same or nearly the same highest & best use?	\boxtimes					
26.	Do the sales have the same or nearly the same zoning as the subject?	\boxtimes					
27.	Has the appraiser concluded a unit value for the subject property?	\boxtimes					
28.	Is it within the value range indicated by the sales?	\boxtimes					
29.	If not, has adequate information been given?	\boxtimes					
30.	Has the allocated value of the site improvements taken been adequately supported?	\boxtimes					
31.	Have cost to cures or temporary takes been adequately discussed and supported, if any?	\boxtimes					
32.	Do you recommend the report as the basis for the agency to establish FMVE.	\boxtimes					
33.	If yes, what is that estimated compensation amount?	\$325					
34.	If no, then Why Not?						
35.	If rejected, has a review letter been sent to the agency and the appraiser?						
	Reviewer's Certification						
I here	eby certify that:						
\boxtimes	I have reviewed the R/W Plans						
\boxtimes	I have physically inspected the take area of the subject property.						
\boxtimes	I have field reviewed the comparable sales used in the valuation.						
	I concur that the Value Analysis format is the proper valuation format in that it is obvious that the impact the residue property, that the valuation problem is uncomplicated and that the FMVE is \$10	aking does i ,000 or less.	not adversely				
\boxtimes	I concur that the Value Analysis complies with ODOT's Policies and Procedures Manual.						
\boxtimes	I have no present or prospective interest in the subject property.						
	My employment and compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from this analy conclusions or reporting pre-determined results.	sis, opinion	s or				
	DATE: <u>5/5/2017</u> Signature L. Z.		_				
	Signature TL ZL Z						

Typed Name: BRUCE B. BOWMAN