



# CONNECTING COMMUNITIES

**PARTA SR 59**

**ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION  
IMPROVEMENTS**

**MAY 2022**



SPONSOR



PREPARED FOR



PREPARED BY



STAKEHOLDERS	ORGANIZATION
Curtis Baker   Director .....	AMATS
Heather Davis Reidl   Mobility Planner .....	AMATS
Claudia Amrhein   General Manager .....	PARTA
Brian Trautman   Chief of Operations/Facilities .....	PARTA
Kelly Jurich   Chief of Staff .....	PARTA
James Bowling, PE   Superintendent of Engineering/Deputy Director .....	City of Kent
Jenny August   Township Administrator .....	Franklin Township
Michael Craver  Traffic Engineer .....	ODOT
David Griffith   Highway Safety Program Coordinator .....	ODOT
Tyler Stratton   Traffic Engineer .....	ODOT

# CONTENTS



1	Executive Summary
5	Background
9	Existing Conditions Analysis
20	Corridor Recommendations and Alternatives
36	Community Engagement
37	Funding and Implementation
39	Appendices Crash Data, Diagrams and CAM Tool Community Survey Results



# 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY





This Connecting Communities study evaluates existing conditions and recommends pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvements along State Route 59 from Horning Road to State Route 261. Most of this approximately 0.9 mile corridor is in Franklin Township with a portion in the City of Kent.



**FIGURE 1** State Route 59 Corridor Study Area

Current development along the corridor is generally oriented towards cars and trucks. Businesses are setback from the roadway behind large parking lots. Housing areas and institutional uses along the corridor are also designed primarily for car access. The area has a high population of students and other community members who rely on non-vehicular transportation (bicycle, pedestrian, and transit). SR 59 is the primary transit corridor connecting Kent and Ravenna and a wide range of individuals rely on transit for access to businesses and institutions located in this segment. Corridor improvements are needed to provide safe, comfortable access for residents of all abilities and income levels. Currently, the facilities needed to serve these users are lacking or deficient.

These deficiencies are evident in the 2010 Sidewalk/Crosswalk Gap Analysis, 2016-2018 Top 50 High Crash Sections, and existing data on pedestrian-related crashes. The corridor needs wide, safe, continuous sidewalks and crosswalks for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders. The corridor also needs enhanced transit waiting environments and better connections between transit stops and destinations. High speed traffic on SR 59, along with a lack of sidewalks, crosswalks, and signage make conditions difficult for the community members who live, work, and travel in this area.

## **Goals of the Planning Process**

- Identify appropriate locations for alternative modes of transportation including pedestrian walkways, multi-purpose trails, transit improvements.
- Blend roadway and street scape improvements between E. Main Street in Kent and SR 59. There should not be a distinct boundary between the two jurisdictions and subsequent project lines.
- Provide infrastructure that supports alternative methods of transportation to employment, education and recreational centers, which in turn will support economic development activities.
- Ensure an equity focus in the community planning process by including residents of all abilities and income.
- Improve community collaboration (internally and externally).
- Identify community action items and implementation strategies.



## Recommendations

- Reduce lane widths to reduce speeds to posted limits.
- Extend and widen sidewalks for safe shared use by bicyclists and pedestrians.
- Create additional mid-block crossings with painted crosswalks, ADA accessible curb ramps, pedestrian islands, and new crossing signals.
- Improve transit amenities, including ADA accessible bus stops, shelters, and connections to nearby designations.
- Upgrade pedestrian crossings at traffic lights with painted crosswalks and pedestrian signals.




**FIGURE 2** Existing corridor right-of-way and lane widths. In some areas, pedestrians are forced to walk on grass or dirt with no sidewalks



**FIGURE 3** Proposed corridor right-of-way and lane widths



## 2. BACKGROUND





This PARTA SR 59 Alternative Transportation Improvements Study is part of AMATS Connecting Communities initiative, aimed at increasing alternative transportation options to connect people and places; promoting Complete Street principles to create vibrant and safe places for all users; and leveraging transportation projects to develop places which support alternative transportation and complete streets through land use and design.

## **Purpose and Need**

State Route 59 between Horning Road and State Route 261 is a five lane, vehicle-centric stretch of roadway with an average daily traffic count of around 19,184 vehicles based on AMATS 2016 Average Daily Traffic Study. 1,000-5,000 of these are big trucks according to AMATS Transportation Outlook 2040.

This 0.9 mile stretch of roadway has two lanes in each direction for travel and a median left turn lane with limited facilities for transit riders, bicyclists, and pedestrians. The lack of sidewalks creates a difficult and dangerous environment for pedestrians. Cyclists must ride with traffic with little visibility to the automobiles driving past.

The speed limit in this segment of SR 59 is 35 miles per hour, which is down from 45 miles per hour at the east end of the study area. Boarding and alighting the bus is difficult as passengers are dropped off in areas of low accessibility due to a lack of landing pads and curb cuts at the bus stops. PARTA provides two fixed routes that run every 30-45 minutes Monday through Saturday. These routes cover several residential areas and retail centers located within a quarter mile or five-minute walk. There are 17 stops in the project area where PARTA saw 30,447 boardings in 2019. Only nine of the 17 stops are accessible from a sidewalk. The rest are accessed from the tree lawn. Without sidewalks, landing pads, or curb cuts, stops are barely accessible to ambulatory passengers and inaccessible to those with mobility devices. Visibility at the stops is low due to the lack of lighting in the area.

Pedestrians in this area experience similar difficulties. Of the three signaled intersections in the study area, two have crossing signals, one has a painted crosswalk, and one has no crossing facilities at all. AMATS' Traffic Crashes and Safety Performance Measures for 2016-2018 notes that the section of State Route 59 between Horning Road and the Kent city limits is #18 on the list of high crash roadway sections. This section saw 48 crashes, two that were pedestrian-related. This could be attributed to the large apartment complex located across from a grocery store with no adjacent intersection from which to cross. Recently, AMATS found ten bike or pedestrian crashes in this area between 2015 - 2021. ODOT CAM tool found one bike and pedestrian crash between 2018-2020.

AMATS 2010 Sidewalk/Crosswalk Gap Analysis also noted more than half of the area is not covered by sidewalks. Bicycle amenities are also lacking. There are no marked bike lanes or paths. Cyclists must ride with traffic with no signage indicating a shared lane. According to AMATS Transportation Outlook 2040, bike crashes were down from two in 2013-2015 to zero in 2016-2018. This could be due to indirect improvements for cyclists in the greater Kent area such as paths that bypass the study area, but nothing that improved the study area directly. Cyclists can also be transit riders, since the buses have bike racks, but this is not an attractive first mile/last mile solution in this area due to the lack of amenities.

The alternative transportation needs for the section of SR 59 between Horning Road and SR 261 are great. Transit riders, pedestrians, and bicyclists need infrastructure investments that make them safer and more visible to motorists. The corridor needs more accessible points to connect with transit and better crossing facilities to help people navigate their way safely across five lanes of traffic. In this area of retail, dining, and residential uses, it is imperative to make access for all better, safer, and more attractive to those who do not have access to a car whether by choice or circumstance. Corridor improvements for SR 59 will connect to planned improvements for East Main Street and SR 261, creating an expanded bike network.





**FIGURE 4** No sidewalk for pedestrians



**FIGURE 5** Curb cut with no crosswalk

## Alignment with Connecting Communities Principles

### Alternative Transportation

SR 59 currently prioritizes vehicular traffic, often to the detriment of pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders. This plan aims to improve safety, enhance mobility, and encourage bus ridership by reducing lane widths, shifting space within the right-of-way for wider sidewalks and pedestrian buffers, creating pedestrian islands at mid-block crossings, and enhancing bus stops/transit waiting areas.

Wider, continuous sidewalks throughout the corridor and more prominent crosswalks will allow for a safer and more comfortable experience for pedestrians and bicyclists, so these modes of transportation become a more viable alternative to driving, particularly for short trips.

Land use patterns along SR 59 are primarily oriented for vehicular access. Development in the corridor is mostly single-use--commercial, residential or institutional--and the area has a spread out, suburban density. However, there are a significant number of pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders who live, work, and shop in this area and infrastructure investments are needed to improve safety and access for these populations.

Bicycle facilities play an important role in the transportation system. A wider sidewalk/ multipurpose path along SR 59 could connect with the proposed side path on the adjacent East Main Street project, eventually connect with a planned bike path along SR 261, and further connect with the Freedom Trail to Tallmadge, creating a larger bike network that could be used for commuting and recreation.

Buses are a critical part of the transportation system, providing access to shopping, restaurants, housing, employment, medical facilities, religious institutions, and other destinations along SR 59. PARTA provides frequent bus service along this segment, but sidewalks connecting to the stops are not continuous, unsafe in areas, and uncomfortably close to fast moving traffic. The sidewalk and shared use path recommended in this study

would provide continuous connectivity to the many bus stops. Currently, the entire 0.9-mile segment only has two marked crosswalks (at the 6th Avenue and Rhodes Road signals). This is deficient given the density and locations of development. Transit users often must walk several hundred feet to the nearest crosswalk to safely cross SR 59 or cross unsafely where there is no marked crossing.

To provide high quality transit service, bus stops should ideally be located within ¼-mile (or 5-minute walk) of the user's destination. Strategically placed mid-block crosswalks near the residential developments and other high use stops would significantly shorten the walk for many users. Possible locations include the Ryan Place apartments, Holly Court apartments, and the Whispering Pines mobile home park.

To provide a safe, comfortable experience, mid-block crossings must be highly visible to drivers through adequate signing, lighting, and pavement markings, which can include changes in pavement color and texture. Equally important to the safety of mid-block crosswalks is slowing vehicular speeds which can be accomplished by narrowing the lanes. Bus pull-offs should also be considered at higher volume stops.

### **Complete Streets**

Complete streets are designed and operated to ensure safe access for all users including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, and motorists of all ages and abilities. SR 59 is particularly challenging for people with disabilities. PARTA's bus fleet is fully accessible but connections between the bus stops and many destinations in the corridor are not accessible. Some bus stops are located in grassy areas without sidewalks, which can be difficult to traverse in a wheelchair. Deep building setbacks often mean that the front door of a business or other destination is far from the location where people get off the bus, often separated by a parking lot

without a sidewalk or other pedestrian amenities. As a result, people with disabilities often choose door-to-door service, which is less frequent and more expensive than the fixed route service.

Enhancements to existing bus stops, including the installation of bus shelters wherever possible, will make SR 59 more 'complete.' Collaborating with business owners and other private sector partners along the corridor can help to improve connections between the right-of-way and intended destinations.

### **Land Use and Design**

Development along the corridor is mostly built-out, except for a few small empty lots (out lots at the Acme Plaza and Gabe's, the former Kentwood Restaurant site, and a small parcel behind Raising Cane's). Given current land use patterns and the existing zoning code (C-1/Local Commercial and R-4/Multi-Family in Franklin Township and C-R/Commercial High Density Multifamily Residential in Kent), the corridor is likely to remain automobile-oriented for the foreseeable future. However, improvements to pedestrian, bicycle, and transit infrastructure along SR 59 may encourage private property owners to invest in better connections to their facilities. This is especially important for elderly and disabled populations who use this route frequently. There are several medical facilities in the corridor and a residential complex for people 55 and older (Four Seasons at Kent). Corridor and intersection improvements should be designed to support the needs of these populations and other people with mobility limitations.



### 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS





### **Lack of Bike Infrastructure**

There are no bike lanes, sharrows, or other bicycle infrastructure in the segment of SR 59 between Horning Road and SR 261. With five lanes of high speed traffic, the corridor offers no facilities to protect bicyclists. PARTA buses are equipped with bike racks to allow cyclists to ride and use their bikes for first mile/last mile connections. Bike infrastructure would improve safety and encourage bicycling as an alternative to driving. Bike infrastructure would also provide greater access to restaurants and retail in the corridor for students who live nearby and others in the area.

### **Sidewalk gaps**

Sidewalks are not continuous throughout the corridor. Gaps were noted at the following locations:

- On the south side of SR 59 at Rhodes Road, walking east, the sidewalk in front of Sunoco does not reach the curb. Pedestrians must walk through the grass.
- There is no sidewalk on the north or south side of SR 59 between Rhodes Road and SR 261. This section of the corridor has five bus stops, three of which lack sidewalk access or even a landing pad.
- Goat paths are visible in areas where sidewalks are lacking, which is an indication that pedestrians are currently walking in these areas and would benefit from continuous sidewalks.
- There is a goat path that leads to a wide shoulder on SR 59 while heading toward SR 261. Although the shoulder is wide, this is an uncomfortable area for pedestrians since car and truck traffic is moving fast as drivers try to make the light. There is no protection from traffic for pedestrians. A sidewalk with a buffer would make pedestrians safer and more visible.

### **Limited Bus Stop Amenities**

Bus stops are not easily accessible, especially those that lack sidewalks, a landing pad, or a curb cut. A person in a wheelchair would struggle to access transit in this area at any time of the year, but especially in the snow.

### **Missing Crosswalks**

Crosswalks and pedestrian signals are needed to improve pedestrian visibility and safety at the intersection of SR 59 and SR 261.

Unfortunately, there are no sidewalks on SR 59 to the east of SR 261, so a new crosswalk would bring pedestrians to grassy, snowy, or muddy areas, rather than a sidewalk.

The intersection of SR 59 and 6th Avenue has crosswalks on three sides of the intersection. The east side of the intersection lacks a crosswalk.

The intersection of SR 59 and Rhodes Road lacks crosswalks and pedestrian signals. A crosswalk exists at the east side of the intersection only. There is an existing sidewalk on the north side of SR 59, in front of Campus Point apartments. A crosswalk is lacking across Ashton Lane.

### **Safety and Crash Analysis**

Safety issues are a primary concern in the SR 59 corridor. AMATS has documented 12 pedestrian and bicycle crashes in the study area between 2015 and 2021, as shown in Figure 5.

**Pedestrian and  
Bicycle Crashes**

**Crash Severity**

- 3=Non incapacitating injury
- 4=Possible injury
- 5=Property damage only



**FIGURE 5** Map of Pedestrian and Bike Crashes (Horning Rd to Ashton Lane)



ODOT District 4 provided crash data for the SR 59 corridor from 2018 to 2020. The data was sourced from the Ohio Department of Transportation’s (ODOT’s) Transportation Information Mapping System (TIMS). A total of 114 crashes occurred within the study area.

Figure 6 compares the crash data to statewide averages for similar four-lane arterials in Ohio using ODOT’s Crash Analysis Module (CAM) Tool. The figure shows that the SR 59 corridor exceeds statewide averages for pedestrian, sideswipe-passing, left turn, angle, rear-end, and injury crashes.


The crash statistics are summarized in Table 1.

No fatalities occurred on SR 59 between 2018 and 2020. One crash resulted in a serious injury in 2019 when a westbound vehicle turning left into the BP gas station collided with an eastbound vehicle. Thirty-one crashes resulted in minor injuries. A majority of the crashes, approximately 72 percent, were property damage only crashes.

Rear-end and angle crashes were the most common crash types accounting for 68 percent of the total crashes, followed by left turn and sideswiping-passing crashes (25 percent combined). Approximately 76 percent occurred between 6 a.m. and 7 p.m. during weekdays and 72 percent occurred on dry pavement.

One pedestrian was struck in 2020 by a southbound vehicle turning right out of the United Methodist Church of Kent (across from the BP gas station driveway) resulting in a possible injury to the pedestrian. The crash occurred during daylight hours on dry pavement. One bicyclist was rear ended in 2020 by an eastbound vehicle near Rockne’s but the cyclist was not injured. The crash occurred at night in the rain.

Given the frequency and severity of crashes a more in-depth safety evaluation will be conducted in the next phase of this project. The in-depth safety analysis will use more recent crash data and will either follow the ODOT guidance for a formal safety study or the ODOT guidance for a systemic safety application depending on whether the injury rate exceeds 30 percent with updated data.



**FIGURE 6** PARTA – SR 59 Study Area Crash History



Table 1 - PARTA - SR 9 Crash Summary

Crash Severity	Total	Percentage
Fatal Crashes	0	0%
Serious Injury Crashes	1	1%
Minor Injury Crashes	19	17%
Injury Possible Crashes	12	11%
PDO Crashes	82	72%

Crash Type	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage
Rear-End	0	10	33	38%
Angle	0	10	24	30%
Left Turn	1	6	10	15%
Sideswipe-Passing	0	2	10	11%
Fixed Object	0	2	0	2%
Animal	0	0	2	2%
Backing	0	0	1	1%
Right Turn	0	0	1	1%
Bicycles	0	0	1	1%
Pedestrian	0	1	0	1%

Contributing Factor	Total	Percentage
Other Improper Action	1	1%
Following too Close/ACDA	43	38%
Failure to Yield	34	30%
None	4	4%
Improper Lane Change	8	7%
Other	6	5%
Ran Red Light	9	8%
Improper Turn	3	3%
Improper Backing	1	1%
Left of Center	1	1%
Unsafe Speed	0	0%
Drove off Road	1	1%
Improper Passing	2	2%
Ran Stop Sign	1	1%

Time of Day	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage
12 a.m. to 6 a.m.	0	0	3	3%
6 a.m. to 9 a.m.	0	1	4	4%
9 a.m. to 3 p.m.	0	11	22	29%
3 p.m. to 7 p.m.	1	11	37	43%
7 p.m. to 12 a.m.	0	8	16	21%
Crashes by Day	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage
Sunday	0	1	6	6%
Monday	0	2	12	12%
Tuesday	1	8	9	16%
Wednesday	0	4	10	12%
Thursday	0	3	15	16%
Friday	0	9	14	20%
Saturday	0	4	16	18%
Month	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	
January	0	3	10	
February	0	3	9	
March	0	4	5	
April	0	2	4	
May	0	2	2	
June	0	1	4	
July	0	1	6	
August	0	5	3	
September	1	2	5	
October	0	3	11	
November	0	3	10	
December	0	2	13	
Crashes by Year	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	
2018	0	12	26	
2019	1	10	30	
2020	0	9	26	
Road Condition	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage
Dry	1	24	57	72%
Wet	0	7	15	19%
Ice/Snow	0	0	10	9%
Unknown	0	0	0	0%

SR 59 was widened from two lanes to five lanes in 1975. The record plans show the existing right-of-way varies considerably with generally more right-of-way on the north side, particularly from Dale Drive to Ashton Lane. In many places through the corridor, the existing right-of-way is at the back of sidewalk (where sidewalk exists), or seven to 8 feet behind the curb where there is no sidewalk.

The right-of-way is narrow in a few areas, particularly in front of the Whispering Pines mobile home park where the right-of-way is three feet behind the north curb line. The existing plans show a 64-foot pavement width from curb-to-curb, which translates to three 12-foot lanes on the inside and two 14-foot lanes on the outside. Generous lanes widths, particularly on multi-lane roads, result in higher vehicular speeds making roads less safe and inviting for bicycles and pedestrians. While the legal posted speed is 35-mph, the record plans show that SR 59 east of Horning Road was designed for 45-mph, which is likely much closer to the prevailing speed on this section.

### **AMATS Discovery Process**

AMATS completed the initial data collection and analysis in April 2021. The discovery document identified areas of concern or improvement and provided important data about the study area.

### **Auto-oriented Corridor**

The SR 59 corridor has five lanes and average daily traffic of almost 20,000 automobiles. It includes residential and retail/dining areas, with pedestrians of all ages. Two bus routes serve the corridor with a total of 17 stops in the study area.

The intersection of Horning Road and SR 59 has crosswalks, curb cuts, and pedestrian signals, making it safer and easier to navigate on foot than other intersections in the study area. It sets the standard to be replicated for other intersections in the corridor.

Overall, the corridor lacks crosswalks and pedestrian signals. It has missing sidewalks, a lack of bus amenities, and no bike infrastructure. Since this segment of SR 59 has only four traffic lights, traffic speeds often exceed posted limits. The corridor has heavy truck traffic which adds to pedestrian discomfort. The corridor is particularly difficult for people in wheelchairs and pushing strollers.

Several nearby housing developments offer student housing. Many students walk between their apartments and the nearby Kent State campus. Improvements are being planned for East Main Street just west of the SR 59 study area, and for the 261 corridor at the west end of the study area. Improvements for SR 59 will be designed to tie into these other projects.



**FIGURE 7** Bus stop with no amenities



## Connecting Communities Bus & Brainstorm

On August 31, 2021 the planning team and stakeholder group explored the SR 59 corridor by bus and on foot, documenting existing conditions and discussing potential improvements. The Bus & Brainstorm was attended by:

Curtis Baker (AMATS), Heather Davis Reidl (AMATS), Mark Dennis (Arcadis), Jim Bowling (City of Kent), Clayton Popik (PARTA), Claudia Amrhein (PARTA), Denise Baba (PARTA), Larry Jenkins (Portage County Engineer), Terry Schwarz (CUDC), Ann Ward (E. Main Street Citizen Advisory Committee), Randy Smith (E. Main Street Citizen Advisory Committee)

The group boarded the 35 bus at the Kent Central Gateway and headed to the easternmost stop in the study area, on the south side of SR 59 near SR 261. From there, the group walked west, crossing to the north side of SR 59 at 6th Avenue and walking to Horning Road. At Horning, the group crossed to the south side of SR 59 and walked to the Police Station for a work session.



## Observations

The group witnessed a passenger board with a walker at Rhodes. The Rhodes bus shelter is accessible from the sidewalk, but not from the roadway where passengers get on and off the bus. This particular passenger with a walker was able to navigate through the grass, but not all mobility devices would be able to function in these conditions.



**FIGURES 8 & 9** No sidewalks available for pedestrians in many regularly-used areas.



**FIGURE 10** Rhodes Road bus shelter is inaccessible from the street.



Another passenger got off the bus at SR261 and crossed mid-block toward a medical facility. The Whispering Pines mobile home park is a residential area with transit accessibility issues. Residents of Whispering Pines also have first mile/last mile issues, which underscores the need for alternative transportation improvements.

In the segment of the corridor near the Sheetz gas station, sidewalks are not continuous. There is an existing crosswalk at SR59 and 6th Avenue. Traffic moves at high speeds as people move east of this intersection. Jim Bowling made note of higher pedestrian accidents in crosswalks. A crosswalk can create a false sense of security for pedestrians. A painted crosswalk and crossing signal are often not enough.

At SR 59 and 6th Avenue, the pedestrian signal was blocked by a new utility pole. Also, the bus shelter near the Pizza Hut is accessible to buses in the roadway, but there is no access across an area of grass between the bus stop and the restaurant.



**FIGURE 11** Bus shelter near Pizza Hut is accessible from the road/bus but there is no pedestrian access from the bus stop to the business entrance. A person in a wheelchair would be forced to navigate around the lawn by using the driveway, which would put them at risk.

Holly Park, Dale Drive, and the Four Seasons residential complexes need access to mid-block crossings on SR 59. Redundant access points to these residential properties are good places for mid-block medians where the extra entrance could be made into a right in/right out turn, as occurs at the west entrance to the Acme Plaza.

### Preliminary Recommendations from Work Session

Based on observations in the corridor, the group discussed reducing the outside through lanes by two feet and the inside through lanes by one foot. This provides a total of six feet of usable space in the right-of-way that can be dedicated to pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvements. The group also discussed reducing the center turn lane width from twelve feet to ten feet. A reduction in lane widths would help to slow traffic and provide right-of-way for a side path.

The existing five-foot tree lawn would be needed for a multi-use path on the south side of SR 59. There is a current plan for SR 261 that incorporates a bike path along the right-of-way through that corridor, which would effectively connect SR 59 to the Freedom Trail to Tallmadge at some point in the future.

The expansion of Crystal Clinic underscores the need for a sidewalk to be extended along the north side of SR 59 to at least the end of the study area, with a crosswalk for access to both sides of SR 59. Signal upgrades would be needed at the three intersections throughout the study area to make the necessary pedestrian signal installations. A bus shelter in front of Acme Plaza needs to have better access to the businesses, perhaps by a dedicated sidewalk or path across the parking lot.



**FIGURE 12** Bus Shelter in front of Acme Plaza with no clear, accessible path to the businesses in the plaza.



The existing eastbound bus stop across from the Whispering Pines mobile home park lacks sidewalks and a transit loading area. It would be difficult, if not impossible, for a person in a wheelchair to board the bus at this stop. Transit, sidewalk, and crosswalk improvements are needed to address this situation.

The center turn lane could be used as a median or pedestrian refuge at mid-block crossings. The mid-block crossings would be located where people are currently crossing. Aligning mid-block crossings with bus stop locations would enable transit riders to more safely reach destinations on the other side of the street. Bus shelters are preferred at bus stops, wherever there is room in the right-of-way.

Pedestrian islands should be placed at the mid-block crossings. Access management is important, to ensure that drivers can access their destinations and trucks and emergency vehicles also maintain access. During the design process, Arcadis will work with PARTA to finalize locations of bus stops along the corridor to correspond with locations of mid-block crossings and transit needs. These could be incremental steps for implementing changes while waiting for the overall project to be put together. Better placement of bus stops would reduce the amount of east/west movement of riders along SR 59 so the lack of sidewalks in some areas would be less of an issue for the moment.

Shelters make riders feel safe and protected from the elements. Shelters should be installed at bus stops wherever possible, using transit counts and demographics to decide shelter placement. Shelters can be added at once or gradually as road improvements are made, if the sites are prepared and there is enough right-of-way established for the shelter.



**FIGURE 13** Proposed mid-block crossing with pedestrian island

## **4. CORRIDOR RECOMMENDATIONS AND ALTERNATIVES**





## Overall Recommendations

Based on a review of data and on-site observations, the project team recommends:

### Narrowing the Existing Pavement

Narrowing the existing pavement will slow vehicular speeds and provide more space for bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Narrowing the through lanes from 12' inside/14' outside to 11' inside/12' outside would allow the curbs to be shifted in three feet on each side, freeing up space for sidewalks or shared use paths. This would match the proposed lane widths on the E. Main Street Project providing a seamless transition to the west.

The mirrors on PARTA buses are more likely to hang over the curb on narrower outside lanes, but street signs, utilities, and other features can be set sufficiently behind the curb to avoid potential conflicts.

### Creating Continuous Sidewalks

SR 59 currently has seven foot sidewalks adjacent to the curb on both sides, but the southern sidewalk terminates at 6th Avenue and the northern sidewalk stops at Ashton Lane. The sidewalks do not provide continuous connection for pedestrians, and the lack of offset from the busy, high-speed roadway does not offer an inviting pedestrian experience. The E. Main Street project will include a six foot sidewalk on the north side and ten foot shared use path on the south side, both separated from the street with comfortable tree lawns. If the curbs are shifted in three feet, this concept could be continued through the study area without reconstructing SR 59.

### Adding a Multi-Use Path

In-street bicycle lanes could also be considered, but they would reduce available space for pedestrian improvements. Also, bike lanes on higher speed, multi-lane streets can encourage higher vehicle speeds, because the lanes feel wider to drivers when no bicycles are present.

The primary utility poles carry three-phase power as well as phone and cable and are located on the north side at the back of sidewalk throughout the study limits. There are secondary poles on the south side for a portion of the segment, but these poles are much shorter and fewer in number, are generally farther from the existing curb, and carry fewer utilities. South side poles will likely need to be shifted back between the BP station and Pulp Juice Bar, but pole relocations outside of this segment could be minimized.

### Access Management

Access management is a preferred countermeasure to potentially mitigate the most common crash types in the study area: rear-end, angle, left-turn, and sideswipe-passing.

The in-depth safety analysis mentioned in Section 3 will evaluate whether rear end crashes are caused by drivers on SR 59 stopping quickly to avoid an angle, sideswipe, or left turn crash with vehicles entering SR 59 from an unsignalized side street or driveway. The analysis will also evaluate whether the angle, left-turn, and sideswipe-passing crashes are caused by drivers on SR 59 colliding with vehicles exiting the unsignalized side streets or driveways or colliding with weaving vehicles changing lanes to turn at unsignalized side streets or driveways.

Access management techniques include combining driveways, converting driveways to right-in/right-out operation, and providing a physical barrier to restrict left turns into and out of the unsignalized cross streets and commercial driveways. Managing access along SR 59 may contribute to a reduction in collisions by decreasing the number of vehicles turning left onto or off of SR 59 and by decreasing the frequency of weaving maneuvers as vehicles change lanes to turn at unsignalized side streets or driveways. It may also benefit active transportation users by allowing pedestrians and bicyclists to pause in the center median when crossing SR 59. Most of this segment of SR 59 is in unincorporated Franklin Township and is therefore maintained by ODOT. When reviewing access requests to the state highway

system, ODOT utilizes their own State Highway Access Management Manual. The principles of this manual can also be applied to the portion of SR 59 within the City of Kent. SR 59 is classified as a Category 2 access facility requiring a higher level of protection due to existing congestion, traffic volumes, and crashes. While the property along the corridor is mostly developed, a few parcels are available for development and redevelopment of parcels is also occurring.

Cross access easements can be encouraged to provide multiple businesses access to lower volume side streets, signalized side streets, or common access drives. ODOT has recently applied access management successfully for the new Raising Cane’s and Arby’s developments, which were both given access to side streets to avoid new driveways on SR 59 (POR-59-3.43) and the new ModWash development which was given access to the existing Gabe’s drive through a cross access easement (POR-59-3.37).




**FIGURE 14** POR-59-3.37 Access Management Improvements




**FIGURE 15** POR-59-3.43 Access Management Improvements





**FIGURE 16** Existing corridor right-of-way and lane widths.




**FIGURE 17** Proposed corridor right-of-way and lane widths, with continuous sidewalks and multi-use path.

## Alternatives


Arcadis developed an initial layout for the corridor based on the corridor-wide recommendations described above. After discussion with the stakeholder group, Arcadis developed a second alternative that limits the extent of property takes required for implementation.

### Alternative One (Overall Corridor)






Alternative One (Overall Corridor) - Continued






## Alternative Two (Overall Corridor)





## Alternative Two (Overall Corridor) - Continued



The differences between the alternatives can be seen at four locations in the corridor, shown on the following pages.

### 1. SR 59 & Loblolly Court

The Holly Park Apartments on the south side of SR 59 is sited below the level of the road.

Alternative One will require a retaining wall (indicated by a heavy black line on the drawing on the following page).


Alternative Two will leave the facility as is, without the need for a retaining wall.



**FIGURE 14** Holly Park Apartments



Alternative One



Alternative Two



## 2. SR 59 at Acme Plaza (between Loblolly Court and 6th Avenue)

Alternative One requires the relocation of existing utility poles to allow for a sidewalk and a landscape buffer on the north side of SR 59.


Alternative Two places the sidewalk behind the existing utility poles. The existing right-of-way extends well into the grass area so this can be accomplished without property takes.




**FIGURE 15** Acme Plaza



Alternative One



Alternative Two



### 3. SR 59 at Dollar General (Rhodes Road/Ashton Lane)

Alternative One requires a retaining wall at the edge of the property on the south side of SR 59.

Alternative Two also requires a wall, but it is a short wall (approximately one-foot tall) at the outside edge of the right-of-way that will be less expensive to construct.



**FIGURE 16** Dollar General / Rhodes Road



Alternative One



Alternative Two



#### **4. SR 59 at Whispering Pines Mobile Home Park**

Alternative One includes a new sidewalk and a landscape buffer on both sides of the street. This will require property acquisition on both sides to expand the right-of-way.


Alternative Two eliminates the landscape buffer on both sides and also eliminates the need for property takes.




**FIGURE 17** Whispering Pines Mobile Home Park



Alternative One



Alternative Two



Alternative Two is recommended since it will be faster and less expensive to implement. Cost details are discussed in the Funding & Implementation section.



A background image showing a dense canopy of trees with yellow and orange autumn leaves. Dark, silhouetted tree branches are visible against the lighter foliage.

## 5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

The Stakeholders group presented the preferred alternative to the community via a handout to bus passengers in May 2022, as well as online through PARTA's website.

Feedback was able to be provided in an online or hard copy comment form, hosted on PARTA's website. The public engagement process was conducted in coordination with PARTA, the City of Kent, and Franklin Township in order to obtain feedback from the adjacent property/business owners and the general public. Survey results and comment forms are included in the appendices.



# 6. FUNDING & IMPLEMENTATION

## Comparison of Estimated Project Costs

Alternative One is estimated to cost ±\$5.1M

Alternative Two estimated cost is ±\$4.2M.

The cost savings in Alternative Two is due to:

- No funding needed to purchase right-of-way. Pulling in eight feet (typically four feet on each side). In the west section, all eight feet of narrowing is on the south side, holding north curb line.
- By holding the curb line on the west side, no drainage changes are needed so cost is reduced.
- Time savings, since purchasing right-of-way will take approximately one year. Saving one year in the construction equates to inflation savings.
- Retaining wall in front of Autozone due to elevation differential is not needed in Alternative Two.
- In the east section, four-foot narrowing on each side. The shared use path is maintained up against curb in front of car wash, Gabe's, and Dollar General (no tree lawn)
- At Rhodes Road, it will be very difficult to stay within the right-of-way. There may need to be a small wall here.
- It might be possible to widen the tree lawn at Whispering Pines if they are willing to donate right-of-way.

The cost estimates include traffic signal modifications (\$125,000 per signal to replace) and traffic control (\$130,000 for five overhead signs, etc). The cost estimates also include upgrading the signal at SR 261.

All right-of-way for church properties is taken with E Main Street. Islands are extended with the E. Main Street project/

If the two-way LTL near Whispering Pines is eliminated, it would be difficult to put in the island. Can finish this study without making a decision on this.

## Phasing

The project can be constructed in one phase or two. The impacts on costs are as follows:

Construct in One Phase

- Alternative 1: \$5.1 Million (Construction in 2025)
- Alternative 2: \$4.2 Million (Construction in 2024)

Construct in Two Phases

- Alternative 2 Phase 1: \$2.1 Million (Construction in 2025)
- Alternative 2 Phase 2: \$3.1 Million (Construction in 2032)

If constructed in a single phase, the overall project cost and construction time are reduced. However, if constructed in two phases, funding may be more attainable for each phase as the phased dollar amounts are each lower than the overall amount for a single phase.

In addition, Phase 1 would be constructed on the eastern portion of SR 59 with poor condition pavement. By the time Phase 2 is constructed, the western portion of SR 59 pavement will be further deteriorated, likely resulting in further justification for pavement and roadway improvements to be performed with the other multi-modal improvements.

## Potential Funding Sources

ODOT, PARTA, the City of Kent, and Franklin Township are committed to partnering in this community improvement. As such, much preliminary collaboration has occurred in order to consider all possible funding opportunities. Funding opportunities being considered include:

- Transportation Alternatives Set Aside (TASA) Funding - The team is hopeful in pursuing the majority of the funding from the TASA Program (\$700k max per phase).
- ODOT Safety Funds - The team is investigating the possibility of obtaining safety funds, as many of the proposed features along this corridor contribute to a reduction in pedestrian crashes.
- ODOT Paving Funds - A portion of the funding for pavement improvements may be able to be funded by ODOT's paving funds.
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funding - PARTA is currently investigating current FTA Grant Programs, such as Access and Mobility Partnership Grants.
- Local Funding - Participants including PARTA, Kent and Franklin Township anticipate contributing to the local portion; and also anticipate that a portion of this could be supported by JEDD funds and ODOT.
- Property Owner Donations - Previously, some local property owners have indicated a willingness to potentially donate a small portion of land if these types of improvements are made. For example, Whispering Pines may be willing to donate right-of-way, allowing the tree lawn to be widened in this area.



# APPENDICES

1. Crash Data
2. CAM Tool
3. Community Survey

APPENDIX 1 CRASH DATA

PARTA - SR 9 Crash Summary

Crash Severity	Total	Percentage			Time of Day	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage
Fatal Crashes	0	0%			12 a.m. to 6 a.m.	0	0	3	3%
Serious Injury Crashes	1	1%			6 a.m. to 9 a.m.	0	1	4	4%
Minor Injury Crashes	19	17%			9 a.m. to 3 p.m.	0	11	22	29%
Injury Possible Crashes	12	11%			3 p.m. to 7 p.m.	1	11	37	43%
PDO Crashes	82	72%			7 p.m. to 12 a.m.	0	8	16	21%
Crash Type	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage	Crashes by Day	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage
Rear-End	0	10	33	38%	Sunday	0	1	6	6%
Angle	0	10	24	30%	Monday	0	2	12	12%
Left Turn	1	6	10	15%	Tuesday	1	8	9	16%
Sideswipe-Passing	0	2	10	11%	Wednesday	0	4	10	12%
Fixed Object	0	2	0	2%	Thursday	0	3	15	16%
Animal	0	0	2	2%	Friday	0	9	14	20%
Backing	0	0	1	1%	Saturday	0	4	16	18%
Right Turn	0	0	1	1%					
Bicycles	0	0	1	1%					
Pedestrian	0	1	0	1%					
Contributing Factor	Total	Percentage			Month	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	
Other Improper Action	1	1%			January	0	3	10	
Following too Close/ACDA	43	38%			February	0	3	9	
Failure to Yield	34	30%			March	0	4	5	
None	4	4%			April	0	2	4	
Improper Lane Change	8	7%			May	0	2	2	
Other	6	5%			June	0	1	4	
Ran Red Light	9	8%			July	0	1	6	
Improper Turn	3	3%			August	0	5	3	
Improper Backing	1	1%			September	1	2	5	
Left of Center	1	1%			October	0	3	11	
Unsafe Speed	0	0%			November	0	3	10	
Drove off Road	1	1%			December	0	2	13	
Improper Passing	2	2%							
Ran Stop Sign	1	1%							
Road Condition	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	Percentage	Crashes by Year	Serious Injury	Minor/Injury Possible	PDO	
Dry	1	24	57	72%	2018	0	12	26	
Wet	0	7	15	19%	2019	1	10	30	
Ice/Snow	0	0	10	9%	2020	0	9	26	
Unknown	0	0	0	0%					





APPENDIX 2 CAM TOOL


POR SR-59 Section SLM 2.88-3.80 CAMTool 2018-2020

Crash Summary Sheet

Year	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
2018	38	0	0
2019	41	0	1
2020	35	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1



Total Crashes	Injury Level				
Crash Type	PDO/No Injury	Injury Possible	Minor Injury Suspected	Serious Injury Suspected	Grand Total
Rear End	33	2	8	0	43
Angle	24	4	6	0	34
Left Turn	10	3	3	1	17
Sideswipe - Passing	10	2	0	0	12
Fixed Object	0	0	2	0	2
Animal	2	0	0	0	2
Backing	1	0	0	0	1
Right Turn	1	0	0	0	1
Pedalcycles	1	0	0	0	1
Pedestrian	0	1	0	0	1
Grand Total	82	12	19	1	114



POR SR-59 Section SLM 2.88-3.80 CAMTool 2018-2020  
Crash Summary Sheet

Road Condition	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Dry	82	0	1
Ice	2	0	0
Snow	8	0	0
Wet	22	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Weather	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Clear	52	0	1
Cloudy	36	0	0
Rain	13	0	0
Snow	13	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1






Crash Location	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Driveway/Alley Access	22	0	1
Four-Way Intersection	20	0	0
Not An Intersection	35	0	0
T-Intersection	37	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Roadway Contour	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Straight Grade	40	0	0
Straight Level	74	0	1
Grand Total	114	0	1

Hour of Day	Total Crashes
0	3
7	2
8	3
9	2
10	2
11	7
12	8
13	9
14	5
15	13
16	8
17	15
18	13
19	6
20	3
21	12
23	3
Grand Total	114

Month	Total Crashes
January	13
February	12
March	9
April	6
May	4
June	5
July	7
August	8
September	8
October	14
November	13
December	15
Grand Total	114

Day in Week	Total Crashes
Sunday	7
Monday	14
Tuesday	18
Wednesday	14
Thursday	18
Friday	23
Saturday	20
Grand Total	114





**POR SR-59 Section SLM 2.88-3.80 CAMTool 2018-2020**

**Unit 1 Crash Summary**

Type of Unit	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Sport Utility Vehicle	27	0	0
Semi-Tractor	1	0	0
Pick up	4	0	0
Passenger Car	73	0	1
Passenger Van (minivan)	6	0	0
Motorcycle 2 Wheeled	1	0	0
Bicycle	1	0	0
Cargo Van	1	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Special Function	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
None	113	0	1
Other / Unknown	1	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Pre-Crash Action	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Backing	1	0	0
Changing Lanes	9	0	0
Entering Traffic Lane	12	0	0
Making Left Turn	27	0	1
Making Right Turn	2	0	0
Overtaking/Passing	3	0	0
Straight Ahead	60	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

**POR SR-59 Section SLM 2.88-3.80 CAMTool 2018-2020**

**Unit 1 Crash Summary**

Gender	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Female	58	0	1
Male	54	0	0
Unknown	2	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Driver Age	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
<15	5	0	0
15-19	13	0	0
20-24	43	0	0
25-29	13	0	0
30-34	6	0	0
35-39	2	0	0
40-44	4	0	0
45-49	2	0	0
50-54	4	0	0
55-59	3	0	0
60-64	2	0	0
65-69	4	0	0
70-74	5	0	0
75-79	4	0	1
80-84	2	0	0
85-90	2	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

**POR SR-59 Section SLM 2.88-3.80 CAMTool 2018-2020**

**Unit 1 Crash Summary**

Traffic Control	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Stop Sign	4	0	0
No Control	66	0	1
Signal	44	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Object Struck	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Light/Luminaries Support	1	0	0
Utility Pole	2	0	0
Grand Total	3	0	0

Contributing Circumstances	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Failure to Yield	34	0	1
Improper Backing	1	0	0
Improper Turn	3	0	0
Left of Center	1	0	0
Operating Defective Equipment	2	0	0
Other Improper Action	1	0	0
Ran Red Light	9	0	0
Ran Stop Sign	1	0	0
Swerving to Avoid	1	0	0
Lying in Roadway	1	0	0
Drove off Road	1	0	0
None	4	0	0
Not Discernible	2	0	0
Following too Close / ACDA	43	0	0
Improper Passing	2	0	0
Improper Lane Change	8	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

**POR SR-59 Section SLM 2.88-3.80 CAMTool 2018-2020**

**Unit 1 Crash Summary**

Alcohol Involved	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
No	111	0	1
Yes	3	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Distracted By	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Other / Unknown	5	0	0
Not Distracted	91	0	1
Manually operating an electronic communic	1	0	0
Other distraction inside the vehicle	7	0	0
Other distraction outside the vehicle	6	0	0
Talking on hand held communication devic	1	0	0
Other activity with an electronic device	2	0	0
Passenger	1	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1

Non-Motorist Location	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
Grand Total	0	0	0

Estimated Speed	Total Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injuries
<15	59	0	1
15-19	12	0	0
20-24	10	0	0
25-29	9	0	0
30-34	6	0	0
35-39	15	0	0
40-44	2	0	0
65-70	1	0	0
Grand Total	114	0	1



APPENDIX 3 COMMUNITY SURVEY



Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Email address: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Address of impacted property (or nearest cross streets), if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Business/Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Business/Organization Address: \_\_\_\_\_

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply.)

☐ Area Resident    ☐ Area business owner or employee    ☐ Commuter    ☐ KSU Student

☐ KSU Employee    ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

How often do you travel in the project area?

☐ Daily    ☐ A few times a week    ☐ Weekly    ☐ A few times a month    ☐ Monthly

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

How do you travel through the project area?

☐ Car/Motorcycle    ☐ Bus    ☐ Bicycle    ☐ Walk    ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

If you travel by bus, which stop(s) do you typically use?

\_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT COMMENTS:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS DUE BY  
May 31st, 2022

Comments may be submitted:

- Online at <https://www.partaonline.org/connecting-communities>
- Verbally or in writing to:  
PARTA  
2000 Summit Road  
Kent, OH 44240
- By email at [CustService@partaonline.org](mailto:CustService@partaonline.org)
- By telephone at 330-678-7745



THE CITY OF  
Kent, Ohio



THE CITY OF  
Kent, Ohio



# PARTA S.R. 59 - Alternative Transportation Improvements



PARTA, Franklin Township, the City of Kent, the Akron Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (AMATS), and ODOT are teaming together through the AMATS ‘Connecting Communities’ Program to provide transit, pedestrian, and bicycle improvements along S.R. 59, between Horning Rd. and S.R. 261. This project will connect seamlessly at the west end with the City of Kent’s East Main Street project which is currently in design.

This S.R. 59 project is currently in the planning phase and hopes to improve access to multi-modal transportation by the following methods:

- **Improved Bus Access:** Improve transit amenities, including ADA accessible bus stops, shelters, and improved connections to nearby destinations.
- **Improved Pedestrian Access:** Create additional mid-block crossings with painted crosswalks, ADA accessible curb ramps, pedestrian islands, and new signalized crosswalks at traffic lights.
- **Improved Sidewalks:** Extend and widen sidewalks for safe shared use by bicyclists and pedestrians.
- **Improve Safety:** Like the proposed East Main Street project, the use of new concrete islands along with reduced lane widths will promote a reduction of vehicular speeds to posted speed limits. This improves both vehicular and pedestrian safety.





PARTA SR 59 - CONNECTING COMMUNITIES  
May/June 2022 Public Input Responses



Name	Email Adress	Phone Number	Address of impacted person or property	Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::	What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all	How often do you travel in the project area?	How do you travel through the project area?:	If you travel by bus, which stop(s) do you typically use?:	Please share any additional comments you may have about this project below.:
Jon Ridinger	<a href="mailto:jon@jonridinger.com">jon@jonridinger.com</a>	330-221-8149	837 Harold Ave	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	Anything that improves access for pedestrians and bikers as well as better access to public transportation will be a plus. A major issue in doing this, though, is making sure it's clearly defined and enforced as to who clears these areas when it snows. It does little to help improve the safety of pedestrians, bikers, scooterists, and bus riders if the area made for them is covered in snow several months during the year. If relocating the utility wires is a possibility, I strongly hope that simply burying the electrical lines is considered instead. I realize that may cost more, but it seems like an appropriate cost for not only safety, but aesthetics. For the pedestrian islands, are there other options besides simple
David Ruller	<a href="mailto:Rullerd@kent-ohio.org">Rullerd@kent-ohio.org</a>	3308422392	1130 Oakwood Dr	City of Kent	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	I frequently drive this corridor and I worry about the growingnumber of pedestrians that have few safe and effective options and often end up walking in the road or standing in the middle of the road to cross this busy street. Between the rising and setting sun, headlights, and the slope of the hill, visibility is often impaired and anything we can do to
Jim Watson	<a href="mailto:jwatson1law@gmail.com">jwatson1law@gmail.com</a>	3309587262	Residents near central school	City of Kent & Kent City Schools	Area Resident	Daily	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	Create off street parking for the Busses and Cars that jam up the right lane of North Mantua. It's a daily cluster of cars trying to get into the left hand lane.
John Kuhan	N/A	330-678-5897	5751 Glad Blvd.	N/A	Area Resident	4 or 5 times a day	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	Roundabouts at aeme and at Rhodes Rd. with crosswalks. Bus pulloffs at Rhodes Rd. area. Need more crosswalks between Horning Rd. and Rhodes Rd.
Pete Mohan	<a href="mailto:petemohan55@gmail.com">petemohan55@gmail.com</a>	330-812-7538	Rhodes and 59	N/A	Area Resident	Weekly	Car/Motorcycle and Bicycle	\	There should be way to cycle from the neighborhoods along the Horning Rd corridor (Kimberly, Caranor, etc.), and campus, to the main section of the Portage Hike and Bike Trail (north of Lake St.). Currently the safest route is via Rhodes to 59. Typically I ride quickly westbound on 59 to 6th and then continue onto Ohio, 2nd, and Lake Streets. The bike lanes on the 6th to 2nd route are in very poor condition and should be addressed as part of this project. They have become an "attractive nuisance" as riders must actually divert to the road to avoid extremely damaged pavement, especially when traveling southbound. A multi-use trail/path on one side of 59 is an excellent idea, and would partially address the above issue. Alternatively, a path from the Gabe's parking lots to the south side of the Sheets property would allow a safer crossing of Rt 59 via the service road/6th St extension that runs along the west side of Sheets. I see many students pushing bikes westbound on the north side of 59 as you approach campus. Is this due to poor sidewalk condition? It is not much of a hill. I
Tony Karnowski	N/A	330-603-6585	314 Bowman St.	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	Tony doesn't think it's a good idea because it's a waste of money. Lanes will be too narrow and it will cause traffic problems. Money could be used on road repair. Take a look at 43 by hantington Main how such was put in and sidewalks. The road is narrow and curbs are hit all the time.
James Davidson	<a href="mailto:mr_davidson@comcast.net">mr_davidson@comcast.net</a>	5618662807	1072 Davey Avenue	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle and Bicycle	N/A	I'm glad to see this area get attention. It is not a safe area for pedestrians or cyclists. It is very unappealing to use transit in that area. Also, keep in mind the winter months. Having infrastructure for pedestrians and cyclists isn't of much use if the infrastructure isn't cleared of snow. I cycle through that area more in the winter because I can't use the bike paths.
Sue Simmons	<a href="mailto:Ssimmons@gmail.com">Ssimmons@gmail.com</a>	33067845	Horning and 59	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle and Walk	N/A	Keep the bikes out of the roads. Do not add more stops and on a side note-expand your services to Akron and Cleveland. Many locals need this transportation, Kent isn't all about the students and campus. We pay the taxes and need services provided.
anice Lessman-Moss	<a href="mailto:lessman@kent.edu">lessman@kent.edu</a>	3309310739	706 Steele Street	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a month	Car/Motorcycle and Walk	N/A	I am very much in favor of creating a more pedestrian friendly route . More people should be encouraged to walk but only if the walkways are safe.
Bill Suboksi	<a href="mailto:wsuboksi@yahoo.com">wsuboksi@yahoo.com</a>	33202084810	629 East Main st, Kent, OH, 44240	N/A	Area Resident Area business owner or employee	Daily	Bus/Bicycle/Walk	Gateway, Taco Bell, Moulton Hall, Starbuck's, Kent Free Library, Meijer, 7-11 Fairchild	The current crosswalks are great on paper, but in practice not as effective
Meredith Rockwood	<a href="mailto:meredithrockwood@gmail.com">meredithrockwood@gmail.com</a>	(330)842-8319	N/A	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle and Bus	N/A	I think the project would greatly improve the flow of traffic at certain times of day in the area and make it safer for pedestrians to cross the street.
Todd Peetz	<a href="mailto:peetz@pcrpc.org">peetz@pcrpc.org</a>	14074840759	3178 Westwood Drive	Portage County Regional Planning Commission	Area Resident and Other	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	Not sure how much right of way you have to work with but: Bus bays for drop off and pick-up to keep busses from blocking traffic. Landscaped Medians would be better than the a continuous suicide lane. Even limit median access points and allow for a full U-turn if necessary. Rather have bike lanes than oversized sidewalks A discussion about extending either further east of 59 or south on 261 should be mentioned as a next step. Everything starts or dead-ends at 261 and 59. It seems the extension would be have value to the current and future residential at 59 and Powder Mill and the Walmart shopping area.
Deanna L Gless	<a href="mailto:deannagless@yahoo.com">deannagless@yahoo.com</a>	3302359040	500 E Riddle Avenue Apt B	N/A	Commuter and KSU Employee	Montly	Car/Motorcycle	N/A	There are no bus stops that help in that area. I would be glad to more installed as well as safer paths to walk. Then I could travel more often.

PARTA SR 59 - CONNECTING COMMUNITIES  
May/June 2022 Public Input Responses



Name	Email Adress	Phone Number	Address of impacted person or property	Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::	What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all	How often do you travel in the project area?	How do you travel through the project area?:	If you travel by bus, which stop(s) do you typically use?:	Please share any additional comments you may have about this project below.:
Joan Inderhees	<a href="mailto:joan.inderhees@gmail.com">joan.inderhees@gmail.com</a>	330-622-1874	120 Portage St.	N/A	Area Resident	A few times a week	Car/Motorcycle and Bicycle and Walk	N/A	While I am very glad to see that these improvements are in the works, I have been appalled and perplexed that sidewalks and crosswalks have been absent from this area. Township officials could have made sidewalks, curb cuts and fully marked, functional crosswalks when street improvements were made (Kent Park entrance @59, for one) and as sites were developed (Sheets, the new car wash, and others). Narrower lanes in Solution #2 will help slow drivers somewhat. I wish that the sharrow markings for cyclists could be consistently applied along the entire span of 59/Main St. in Kent — it's confusing to all users when it changes mile to mile. Personally, I will probably still take my bike to the sidewalk. I consider contiguous sidewalks as a top priority, though. I hope a future plan can extend them to Walmart/apartments/plaza, too
Josiah Murphy	<a href="mailto:josiahjmurphy@gmail.com">josiahjmurphy@gmail.com</a>	3302776581	3135 Summit Rd, Ravenna, OH 44266	N/A	Area Resident and Commuter and KSU Employee	Daily	Car/Motorcycle and Bus and Walk	Several of the stopes around KSU's campus, and downtown (esp. the library)	I would use public transit daily if it extended (close) to my neighborhood on Summit Rd. I've noticed many people walking miles in all weather along that stretch between Ravenna and Kent. Please extend the routes!
Brooke Forrest	<a href="mailto:bcf9113@gmail.com">bcf9113@gmail.com</a>	4125925016	1840 Rhodes Road	N/A	Area Resident/Area Buisness owner or employee/ other	Daily	Bus/Bicycle/Walk	Mainly Campus Routes	<p>I'm really excited about the project because like many residents of Kent I don't drive. I rely on public transportation a lot but the nearest grocery store, laundry facility, drug store, pharmacy, and more are all located within walking distance but that walk typically involves crossing SR 59. While there are sections of the road that consist of cross walks they often stop working (especially the one by Sheetz) and are at inconvenient locations considering the apartment complexes most people in the area are walking from. So instead of adding a great deal of walk time while carrying heavy laundry or groceries many of us cross between two very distantly placed cross walks (mainly the opening of the acme plaza). This is dangerous but unfortunately the most practical option many of us currently have. While the bus is great for other types of trips there is an enormous cluster of apartment buildings and dorms located within this walk able distance that walking further away to take a bus that will get us across the busy street (in a longer time period) also doesn't make a ton of sense. So many of us risk running across the street. This is not only a hazard for those of us who need to cross the road (because there is no cross walk where there is one needed or sections for pedestrian crossing like near where campus meets the taco bell/dunkin area of businesses) but also for folks who are driving. The way that the lights are set up often results in an unfortunate frogger like situation of cars so perfectly spaced that there is rarely a very clear and open time to run. I am sure both drivers and walkers would agree that something set up in that area like a new cross walk or pedestrian crossing (preferably closer to the acme plaza which is the main reason for crossing not at the other designated cross walks) would alleviate a lot of problems. I have felt this way since I moved here several years ago and I would be thrilled to see positive change for safety and access to groceries, pharmacies, and other essential businesses for those who are pedestrians and rely on public transportation or safe walking/biking paths. Awhile ago I lived on a college campus in another state that was located within a city and this crossway issue reminds me a great deal of a city road that crossed between two busy sections of campus and almost every semester a student was injured or killed just trying to cross the road. It is a very unfortunate tragedy that could've been avoided by having a safer set up for pedestrians who needed to cross there. Though I don't know if any major accidents have occurred on SR 59 yet I feel it is only a matter of time. I see students, families, and more darting into traffic and anyone who relies on public transport and walking/biking to get around they know that every bit of time and distance matter. I have to carry my groceries home, partly through the woods and running across a busy road. It influences how I shop and schedule my day. I buy less knowing that I need to be able to safely run on a busy road and that's only a small section of my way back home. It would be life changing for people to offer more relief to those crossing and those driving (trying not to hit pedestrians who are running into the road).</p>
Courtney	<a href="mailto:dragonsdove33@gmail.com">dragonsdove33@gmail.com</a>	3308423145	1915 State Route 59 Lot 21	N/A	Area Resident/Area Buisness owner or employee	Daily	Walk	I mainly use the ones at campus point or Sunoco because the stop across from Whispering Pines is not easily seen by the drivers.	Honestly we need sidewalks all the way up and down 59. I would love to be able to get where I need to go on foot but sometimes it is hard to do so by walking in the grass which is not usually well maintained and cutting through the parking lots is no safer as a lot of drivers are not observant





5/13/22 2:40 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Jon Ridinger

---

Email address:: jon@jonridinger.com

---

Phone number:: 330-221-8149

---

Address of impacted  
person or property (or  
nearest cross streets):: 837 Harold Ave

---

Business/Organization  
Name & Address (if  
applicable)::

---

What is your interest in  
the proposed project?  
(Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week



Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus

Bicycle

Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

Anything that improves access for pedestrians and bikers as well as better access to public transportation will be a plus. A major issue in doing this, though, is making sure it's clearly defined and enforced as to who clears these areas when it snows. It does little to help improve the safety of pedestrians, bikers, scooterists, and bus riders if the area made for them is covered in snow several months during the year. If relocating the utility wires is a possibility, I strongly hope that simply burying the electrical lines is considered instead. I realize that may cost more, but it seems like an appropriate cost for not only safety, but aesthetics. For the pedestrian islands, are there other options besides simple concrete blocks? Those tend to look run-down and overgrown rather quickly, such as the islands currently on East Main across from campus.

---





5/17/22 12:03 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: David Ruller

---

Email address:: rullerd@kent-ohio.org

---

Phone number:: 3308422392

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 1130 Oakwood Dr

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable):: City of Kent

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
- ☐ Area business owner or employee
- ☐ Commuter
- ☐ KSU Student
- ☐ KSU Employee
- ☐ Other

---

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week



Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus

Bicycle

Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

I frequently drive this corridor and I worry about the growing number of pedestrians that have few safe and effective options and often end up walking in the road or standing in the middle of the road to cross this busy street. Between the rising and setting sun, headlights, and the slope of the hill, visibility is often impaired and anything we can do to better separate pedestrians and cyclists from vehicles would be a huge improvement.

---



5/18/22 4:23 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Jim watson

---

Email address:: jwatson1law@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 3309587262

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: Residents near central school

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable):: City of Kent & Kent City Schools

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-



How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- ✓ Daily
  - A few times a week
  - Weekly
  - A few times a month
  - Monthly
  - Other
- 

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ✓ Car/Motorcycle
  - Bus
  - Bicycle
  - Walk
  - Other
- 

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

Create off street parking for the Busses and Cars that jam up the right lane of North Mantua. It's a daily cluster of cars trying to get into the left hand lane.

---

5-19-22 @ 12:15

## State Route 59

### Alternative Transportation Improvements

From Horning Road to State Route 261

City of Kent & Franklin Township

# Public Comment Form

CONNECTING  
COMMUNITIES

Contact information is not required but will ensure you receive a response.

Name:

John Kuhar

Mailing address (or nearest cross streets):

5751 Glad Blvd. Kent

Email address:

Phone:

330-678-5897

Address of impacted property (or nearest cross streets), if applicable:

Business/Organization Name:

Business/Organization Address:

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply.)

- ☒ Area Resident ☐ Area business owner or employee ☐ Commuter ☐ KSU Student  
☐ KSU Employee ☐ Other:

How often do you travel in the project area?

- ☐ Daily ☐ A few times a week ☐ Weekly ☐ A few times a month ☐ Monthly  
☐ Other: 4 or 5x a day

How do you travel through the project area?

- ☒ Car/Motorcycle ☐ Bus ☐ Bicycle ☐ Walk ☐ Other:

If you travel by bus, which stop(s) do you typically use?



THE CITY OF  
Kent, Ohio



**PROJECT COMMENTS:**

John said Roundabouts at Arme + at Rhodes Rd w/ crosswalks. Bus pull offs at Rhodes Rd. area. Need more crosswalks ~~at~~ between Horning Id + Rhodes.

**Comments due by:**

**Wednesday, June 15, 2022**

**Comments may be submitted:**

**Verbally or in writing to:**

PARTA

2000 Summit Rd.  
Kent, OH 44240

**Online:** [partaonline.org/connecting-communities](http://partaonline.org/connecting-communities)

**Email:** [CustService@partaonline.org](mailto:CustService@partaonline.org)

**Phone:** 330-678-7745



**THE CITY OF  
Kent, Ohio**







5/19/22 8:40 AM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Pete Mohan

---

Email address:: petemohan55@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 330-812-7538

---

Address of impacted  
person or property (or  
nearest cross streets):: Rhodes and 59

---

Business/Organization  
Name & Address (if  
applicable)::

---

What is your interest in  
the proposed project?  
(Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week

Weekly



A few times a month

Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus



Bicycle

Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any additional comments you may have about this project below.:

There should be way to cycle from the neighborhoods along the Horning Rd corridor (Kimberly, Caranor, etc.), and campus, to the main section of the Portage Hike and Bike Trail (north of Lake St.). Currently the safest route is via Rhodes to 59. Typically I ride quickly westbound on 59 to 6th and then continue onto Ohio, 2nd, and Lake Streets. The bike lanes on the 6th to 2nd route are in very poor condition and should be addressed as part of this project. They have become an "attractive nuisance" as riders must actually divert to the road to avoid extremely damaged pavement, especially when traveling southbound. A multi-use trail/path on one side of 59 is an excellent idea, and would partially address the above issue. Alternatively, a path from the Gabe's parking lots to the south side of the Sheets property would allow a safer crossing of Rt 59 via the service road/6th St extension that runs along the west side of Sheets. I see many students pushing bikes westbound on the north side of 59 as you approach campus. Is this due to poor sidewalk condition? It is not much of a hill. I agree that sidewalks are needed on the north side of 59, east of Rhodes. The restaurants and Flynns are used by neighboring residents, both in the apartments and in the Horning neighborhoods. I am a volunteer for the Portage Park District, and my emphasis is on cycling. I have created a website devoted to trail connectivity. <https://www.bikeportage.com/> I would be happy to be involved in future conversations.



5-19-2022 @ 11 AM

CALLER  
Comments taken  
over phone

## State Route 59

### Alternative Transportation Improvements

From Horning Road to State Route 261

City of Kent & Franklin Township

# Public Comment Form

CONNECTING  
COMMUNITIES

Contact information is not required but will ensure you receive a response.

Name: Tony Karnowski

Mailing address (or nearest cross streets): 314 Bowman St. Kent

Email address: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: 330-603-6585

Address of impacted property (or nearest cross streets), if applicable: \_\_\_\_\_

Business/Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Business/Organization Address: \_\_\_\_\_

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply.)

- ☒ Area Resident   ☐ Area business owner or employee   ☐ Commuter   ☐ KSU Student  
☐ KSU Employee   ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

How often do you travel in the project area?

- ☐ Daily   ☒ A few times a week   ☐ Weekly   ☐ A few times a month   ☐ Monthly  
☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

How do you travel through the project area?

- ☒ Car/Motorcycle   ☐ Bus   ☐ Bicycle   ☐ Walk   ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

If you travel by bus, which stop(s) do you typically use?

\_\_\_\_\_



THE CITY OF  
Kent, Ohio



**PROJECT COMMENTS:**

Tony doesn't think it's a good idea because it's a waste of money. Lanes will be too narrow & will cause traffic problems. Money could be used on road repair. Take a look at 43 by Hartington Main how bus was put in & sidewalks. The road is narrow & buses are hit all the time.

**Comments due by:**

**Wednesday, June 15, 2022**

**Comments may be submitted:**

**Verbally or in writing to:**

**PARTA**

2000 Summit Rd.  
Kent, OH 44240

**Online:** [partaonline.org/connecting-communities](http://partaonline.org/connecting-communities)

**Email:** [CustService@partaonline.org](mailto:CustService@partaonline.org)

**Phone:** 330-678-7745



**THE CITY OF  
Kent, Ohio**





5/20/22 2:28 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: James Davidson

---

Email address:: mr\_davidson@comcast.net

---

Phone number:: 5618662807

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 1072 Davey Avenue

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-



How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week



Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus



Bicycle

Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

I'm glad to see this area get attention. It is not a safe area for pedestrians or cyclists. It is very unappealing to use transit in that area. Also, keep in mind the winter months. Having infrastructure for pedestrians and cyclists isn't of much use if the infrastructure isn't cleared of snow. I cycle through that area more in the winter because I can't use the bike paths.

---



5/20/22 2:34 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: James Davidson

---

Email address:: mr\_davidson@comcast.net

---

Phone number:: 5618662807

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 1072 Davey Avenue

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- Daily
- A few times a week
- ✓ Weekly
- A few times a month
- Monthly
- Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ✓ Car/Motorcycle
- Bus
- ✓ Bicycle
- Walk
- Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

Kent Central Gateway

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

Also, I'd like to add that I would love to see the Cleveland Express Route reinstated.





5/20/22 12:24 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Sue Simmons

---

Email address:: Ssimmons@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 3306786845

---

Address of impacted  
person or property (or  
nearest cross streets)::  
Horning and 59

---

Business/Organization  
Name & Address (if  
applicable)::  
--select an item--

---

What is your interest in  
the proposed project?  
(Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week



Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus

Bicycle



Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

Keep the bikes out of the roads. Do not add more stops and on a side note-expand your services to Akron and Cleveland. Many locals need this transportation, Kent isn't all about the students and campus. We pay the taxes and need services provided.

---



5/23/22 12:13 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Janice Lessman-Moss

---

Email address:: jlessman@kent.edu

---

Phone number:: 3309310739

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 706 Steele Street

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-



How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week

Weekly

A few times a month



Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus

Bicycle



Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

I am very much in favor of creating a more pedestrian friendly route . More people should be encouraged to walk but only if the walkways are safe.

---



5/25/22 1:57 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Bill Suboski

---

Email address:: wsuboski@yahoo.com

---

Phone number:: 3302084810

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 629 East Main st, Kent, OH, 44240

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
- ☒ Area business owner or employee
- ☒ Commuter

☐ KSU Student

☐ KSU Employee

☐ Other

---

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- ☒ Daily
  - ☐ A few times a week
  - ☐ Weekly
  - ☐ A few times a month
  - ☐ Monthly
  - ☐ Other
- 

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ☐ Car/Motorcycle
  - ☒ Bus
  - ☒ Bicycle
  - ☒ Walk
  - ☐ Other
- 

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

Gateway, Taco Bell, Moulton Hall, Starbuck's, Kent Free Library, Meijer, 7-11 Fairchild

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

The current crosswalks are great on paper, but in practice not as effective

---





5/25/22 6:59 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Meredith Rockwood

---

Email address:: meredithrockwood@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: (330)842-8319

---

Address of impacted  
person or property (or  
nearest cross streets)::

---

Business/Organization  
Name & Address (if  
applicable)::

---

What is your interest in  
the proposed project?  
(Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- Daily
- A few times a week
- ✓ Weekly
- A few times a month
- Monthly
- Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ✓ Car/Motorcycle
- ✓ Bus
- Bicycle
- Walk
- Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

I think the project would greatly improve the flow of traffic at certain times of day in the area and make it safer for pedestrians to cross the street.



5/25/22 1:53 PM

<https://www.portaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Todd Peetz

---

Email address:: tpeetz@pcrpc.org

---

Phone number:: 14074840759

---

Address of impacted  
person or property (or  
nearest cross streets):: 3178 Westwood Drive

---

Business/Organization  
Name & Address (if  
applicable):: Portage County Regional Planning Commission

---

What is your interest in  
the proposed project?  
(Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
- ☐ Area business owner or employee
- ☐ Commuter
- ☐ KSU Student
- ☐ KSU Employee
- ☒ Other

---



How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week



Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly

Other

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus

Bicycle

Walk

Other

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

NA

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

Not sure how much right of way you have to work with but: Bus bays for drop off and pick-up to keep busses from blocking traffic. Landscaped Medians would be better than the a continuous suicide lane. Even limit median access points and allow for a full U-turn if necessary. Rather have bike lanes than oversized sidewalks A discussion about extending either further east of 59 or south on 261 should be mentioned as a next step. Everything starts or dead-ends at 261 and 59. It seems the extension would be have value to the current and future residential at 59 and Powder Mill and the Walmart shopping area.



5/27/22 11:34 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Deanna L Gless

---

Email address:: deannagless@yahoo.com

---

Phone number:: 3302359040

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 500 E Riddle Avenue Apt B

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

Area Resident

Area business owner or employee

✓ Commuter

KSU Student

✓ KSU Employee

Other

---

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week

Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly



Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus

Bicycle

Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

There are no bus stops that help in that area. I would be glad to more installed as well as safer paths to walk. Then I could travel more often.





5/27/22 2:58 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Joan Inderhees

---

Email address:: joan.inderhees@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 330-622-1874

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 120 Portage St.

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☐ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☐ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

Daily

A few times a week



Weekly

A few times a month

Monthly

Other

---

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:



Car/Motorcycle

Bus



Bicycle



Walk

Other

---

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

While I am very glad to see that these improvements are in the works, I have been appalled and perplexed that sidewalks and crosswalks have been absent from this area. Township officials could have made sidewalks, curb cuts and fully marked, functional crosswalks when street improvements were made (Kent Park entrance @59, for one) and as sites were developed (Sheets, the new car wash, and others). Narrower lanes in Solution #2 will help slow drivers somewhat. I wish that the sharrow markings for cyclists could be consistently applied along the entire span of 59/Main St. in Kent — it's confusing to all users when it changes mile to mile. Personally, I will probably still take my bike to the sidewalk. I consider contiguous sidewalks as a top priority, though. I hope a future plan can extend them to Walmart/apartments/plaza, too.

---





5/31/22 2:53 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Josiah Murphy

---

Email address:: josiahjmurphy@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 3302776581

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 3135 Summit Rd, Ravenna, OH 44266

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
  - ☐ Area business owner or employee
  - ☒ Commuter
  - ☐ KSU Student
  - ☒ KSU Employee
  - ☐ Other
-



How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- ✓ Daily
  - A few times a week
  - Weekly
  - A few times a month
  - Monthly
  - Other
- 

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ✓ Car/Motorcycle
  - ✓ Bus
  - Bicycle
  - ✓ Walk
  - Other
- 

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

Several of the stops around KSU's campus, and downtown (esp. the library)

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

I would use public transit daily if it extended (close) to my neighborhood on Summit Rd. I've noticed many people walking miles in all weather along that stretch between Ravenna and Kent. Please extend the routes!

---



6/9/22 3:09 AM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Brooke Forrest

---

Email address:: bcf9113@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 4125925016

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 1840 Rhodes Road

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
- ☒ Area business owner or employee
- ☐ Commuter
- ☐ KSU Student
- ☐ KSU Employee
- ☒ Other

---

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- ☒ Daily
  - ☐ A few times a week
  - ☐ Weekly
  - ☐ A few times a month
  - ☐ Monthly
  - ☐ Other
- 

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ☐ Car/Motorcycle
  - ☒ Bus
  - ☒ Bicycle
  - ☒ Walk
  - ☐ Other
- 

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

---

Mainly campus routes

Please share any additional comments you may have about this project below.:

I'm really excited about the project because like many residents of Kent I don't drive. I rely on public transportation a lot but the nearest grocery store, laundry facility, drug store, pharmacy, and more are all located within walking distance but that walk typically involves crossing SR 59. While there are sections of the road that consist of cross walks they often stop working (especially the one by Sheetz) and are at inconvenient locations considering the apartment complexes most people in the area are walking from. So instead of adding a great deal of walk time while carrying heavy laundry or groceries many of us cross between two very distantly placed cross walks (mainly the opening of the acme plaza). This is dangerous but unfortunately the most practical option many of us currently have. While the bus is great for other types of trips there is an enormous cluster of apartment buildings and dorms located within this walk able distance that walking further away to take a bus that will get us across the busy street (in a longer time period) also doesn't make a ton of sense. So many of us risk running across the street. This is not only a hazard for those of us who need to cross the road (because there is no cross walk where there is one needed or sections for pedestrian crossing like near where campus meets the taco bell/dunkin area of businesses) but also for folks who are driving. The way that the lights are set up often results in an unfortunate frogger like situation of cars so perfectly spaced that there is rarely a very clear and open time to run. I am sure both drivers and walkers would agree that something set up in that area like a new cross walk or pedestrian crossing (preferably closer to the acme plaza which is the main reason for crossing not at the other designated cross walks) would alleviate a lot of problems. I have felt this way since I moved here several years ago and I would be thrilled to see positive change for safety and access to groceries, pharmacies, and other essential businesses for those who are pedestrians and rely on public transportation or safe walking/biking paths. Awhile ago I lived on a college campus in another state that was located within a city and this crossway issue reminds me a great deal of a city road that crossed between two busy sections of campus and almost every semester a student was injured or killed just trying to cross the road. It is a very unfortunate tragedy that could've been avoided by having a safer set up for pedestrians who needed to cross there. Though I don't know if any major accidents have occurred on SR 59 yet I feel it is only a matter of time. I see students, families, and more darting into traffic and anyone who relies on public transport and walking/biking to get around they know that every bit of time and distance matter. I have to carry my groceries home, partly through the woods and running across a busy road. It influences how I shop and schedule my day. I buy less knowing that I need to be able to safely run on a busy road and that's only a small section of my way back home. It would be life changing



for people to offer more relief to those crossing and those driving (trying not to hit pedestrians who are running into the road).

---

Powered by **Ascend** BY WIX



6/11/22 12:23 PM

<https://www.partaonline.org/>

## Event Feedback

Name:: Courtney

---

Email address:: dragonsdove33@gmail.com

---

Phone number:: 3308423145

---

Address of impacted person or property (or nearest cross streets):: 1915 State Route 59 Lot 21

---

Business/Organization Name & Address (if applicable)::

---

What is your interest in the proposed project? (Select all that apply):

- ☒ Area Resident
- ☒ Area business owner or employee

☐ Commuter

☐ KSU Student

☐ KSU Employee

☐ Other

---

How often do you travel  
in the project area?:

- ☒ Daily
  - ☐ A few times a week
  - ☐ Weekly
  - ☐ A few times a month
  - ☐ Monthly
  - ☐ Other
- 

How do you travel  
through the project  
area?:

- ☐ Car/Motorcycle
  - ☐ Bus
  - ☐ Bicycle
  - ☒ Walk
  - ☐ Other
- 

If you travel by bus,  
which stop(s) do you  
typically use?:

I mainly use the ones at campus point or Sunoco because the stop across from  
Whispering Pines is not easily seen by the drivers.

---

Please share any  
additional comments  
you may have about  
this project below.:

Honestly we need sidewalks all the way up and down 59. I would love to be able to get  
where I need to go on foot but sometimes it is hard to do so by walking in the grass  
which is not usually well maintained and cutting through the parking lots is no safer as  
a lot of drivers are not observant.

---